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#### Abstract

In this paper, we present several necessary and sufficient conditions for a harmonic mapping to be normal. Also, we discuss maximum principle and five-point theorem for normal harmonic mappings. Furthermore, we investigate the convergence of sequences for sense-preserving normal harmonic mappings and show that the asymptotic values and angular limits are identical for normal harmonic mappings.


## 1. Introduction and Main results

Let $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ denote the unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. A function $f$ meromorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ is called a normal function if the family $\mathfrak{F}=\{f \circ \varphi$ : $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})\}$ is a normal family, where $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ denotes the class of conformal automorphisms of $\mathbb{D}$ (cf. [10]). Normal functions were first studied by Yosida [17]. Subsequently, Noshiro [13] gave a characterization of normal functions by showing that a meromorphic function $f$ is normal if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z)<\infty, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{\#}$ denotes the spherical derivative of $f$ given by $f^{\#}(z)=\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| /\left(1+|f(z)|^{2}\right)$. The condition (1.1) is equivalent to say that $f$ is Lipschitz when regarded as a function from the hyperbolic disk $\mathbb{D}$ into the extended complex plane endowed with the chordal distance (cf. [10]) which is defined as follows: The chordal distance $\chi(a, b)$ between the complex values $a$ and $b$, considered as points on the Riemann sphere, is given by

$$
\chi(a, b)=\left\{\begin{align*}
0 & \text { if } a=b,  \tag{1.2}\\
\frac{|a-b|}{\sqrt{1+|a|^{2}} \sqrt{1+|b|^{2}}} & \text { if } a \neq \infty \neq b, \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|a|^{2}}} & \text { if } a \neq \infty=b
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Normal functions play important roles in studying properties of meormorphic functions, specially the behaviour in the boundary of meormorphic functions. Many results have appeared in the literature, see, for example, $[8,9,10,12,14,16]$. The

[^0]main focus in this article is to extend a number of results from theory of analytic functions to the case of planar harmonic mappings.

Let $\Omega$ be a simply connected domain in $\mathbb{C}$. A harmonic mapping $f$ on $\Omega$ is a complex-valued function which has the canonical decomposition $f=h+\bar{g}$, where $h$ and $g$ are analytic in $\Omega$ and $g\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ at some prescribed point $z_{0} \in \Omega$. We recall that (see [11]) a necessary and sufficient condition for a complex-valued harmonic mapping $f=h+\bar{g}$ is locally univalent and sense-preserving in $\mathbb{D}$ is that red $h^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ and the Jacobian $J_{f}(z)$ is positive in $\mathbb{D}$, where $J_{f}(z)=\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}-\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}$.

A harmonic mapping $f=h+\bar{g}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is red said to be normal if

$$
\sup _{z_{1} \neq z_{2}} \frac{\chi\left(f\left(z_{1}\right), f\left(z_{2}\right)\right)}{\rho\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)}<\infty
$$

where $\rho\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ denotes the hyperbolic distance between two points $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ in $\mathbb{D}$, that is,

$$
\rho\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right), \quad r=\left|\frac{z_{1}-z_{2}}{1-\overline{z_{1} z_{2}}}\right| .
$$

Following the idea of Colonna [4] on harmonic Bloch functions, Arbeláez et al. [2] studied normal harmonic mappings and established some necessary conditions for a harmonic mapping to be normal. We begin with the following equivalent definition (see [2, Proposition 1]).

Definition 1. A harmonic mapping $f=h+\bar{g}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is said to be normal if

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z)<\infty
$$

where

$$
f^{\#}(z)=\frac{\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|+\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+|f(z)|^{2}}
$$

Following the investigation of [2], we continue in this paper the study of normal harmonic mappings. First we extend the theorem of Lohwater-Pommerenke [12, Theorem 1] to the case of normal harmonic mappings, in the following form.

Theorem 1. A non-constant function $f$ harmonic in $\mathbb{D}$ is normal if and only if there do not exist sequences $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\rho_{n}\right\}$ with $z_{n} \in \mathbb{D}, \rho_{n}>0, \rho_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(z_{n}+\rho_{n} \zeta\right)=F(\zeta) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C}$, where $F$ is a non-constant harmonic mapping.
It is worth pointing out that the important use of [12, Theorem 1] was to prove the five-point theorem due to Lappan [8, Theorem 1] which asserts that a function $f$ meromorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ is normal if $\sup _{z \in f^{-1}(E)} f^{\#}(z)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)$ is bounded for some five-point set $E \subset f(\mathbb{D})$. Being stated Theorem 1, it is natural to ask whether this result continues to hold in the case of harmonic mappings to be normal.

Theorem 2. Let $E$ be any set consisting of five complex numbers, finite or infinite. If $f$ is a sense-preserving harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\sup _{z \in f^{-1}(E)}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z)<\infty
$$

then $f$ is a normal harmonic mapping.
Our next result is a natural generalization of [9, Lemma 1] from the case of normal meromorphic functions to the case of harmonic mappings.

Theorem 3. Let $K$ be a positive real number and let $f$ be a normal harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$. Then for each positive integer $n$, there exists a constant $E_{n}(f, K)$ satisfying the inequality

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{n}\left(\left|h^{(n)}(z)\right|+\left|g^{(n)}(z)\right|\right) \leq E_{n}(f, K)
$$

for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and that $|f(z)| \leq K$.
Theorem 3 actually characterizes sense-preserving normal harmonic mappings. For if $f$ is a sense-preserving harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ which is not normal, then by Theorem 2 for each fixed $K>0$ and for each value $w$ such that $|w|<K$, we have

$$
\sup _{z \in f^{-1}(w)}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z)=\infty
$$

with at most four exceptions for $w$.
Moreover in [7], Lappan showed that a meromorphic function $f$ is normal if and only if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(z_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(w_{n}\right)
$$

for all sequences $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $\rho\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This result has a natural analog for normal harmonic mappings.

Theorem 4. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ such that either $h$ or $g$ is bounded. Then $f$ is normal in $\mathbb{D}$ if and only if for each pair of sequences $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{D}$ such that $\rho\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the convergence of $\left\{f\left(z_{n}\right)\right\}$ implies the convergence of $\left\{f\left(w_{n}\right)\right\}$ with the same limit.

Theorem 4 characterizes normal harmonic mappings which is indeed a generalization of [3, Theorem 1.3] for normal functions.

Theorem 5. Let $f$ be harmonic in $\mathbb{D}$ and $0<p<\infty$. Then $f$ is normal if and only if

$$
\sup _{z, w \in \mathbb{D}, z \neq w} \frac{\chi(f(z), f(w))}{|z-w|}|1-\bar{w} z|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty .
$$

The proof of this result is similar to the proof of [3, Theorem 1.3] and so, we omit its proof. Note that the case $p=2$ of Theorem 5 gives a compact and useful form for a harmonic function to be normal.

The maximum principle for normal functions is established in [10] (see also [15, Theorem 9.1]), as a generalization of the classical maximum principle for analytic functions since there is no assumption on $|f(z)|$ with $z$ belonging to some subarc
of the boundary. We next consider the maximum principle for normal harmonic mappings, and get a harmonic analog of [15, Theorem 9.1], which is indeed a generalization of the classical maximum principle for harmonic mappings.

Theorem 6. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be harmonic in $\mathbb{D}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|+\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+|f(z)|^{2}} \leq \alpha<\infty \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G$ be a domain with $\bar{G} \subset \mathbb{D}$ that lies in the lens-shaped domain of angle $\beta(0<$ $\beta<\pi)$ cut off from $\mathbb{D}$ by the circular arc $B$ (see Figure 1). We suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leq \delta<\delta_{0} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \partial G \backslash B$, where $\delta_{0}=\frac{1}{\kappa}\left(1+\sqrt{1+\kappa^{2}}\right) \exp \left[-\sqrt{1+\kappa^{2}}\right]$ with $\kappa=\frac{\alpha \beta}{\sin \beta}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leq \eta \quad \text { for } z \in G \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta=\eta(\delta, \alpha, \beta)$ is the smallest positive solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=b(\eta), \quad b(t)=t \exp \left(-\frac{\kappa}{2}\left(t+\frac{1}{t}\right)\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a simple exercise to see that the function $b(t)=t \exp \left(-\frac{\kappa}{2}\left(t+\frac{1}{t}\right)\right)$ is increasing for $0<t<t_{0}$ and decreasing for $t_{0}<t<\infty$ with $t_{0}=\frac{1}{\kappa}\left(1+\sqrt{1+\kappa^{2}}\right)$, and, thus, we have $\delta_{0}=b\left(t_{0}\right)$. It follows that, for $0 \leq \delta \leq \delta_{0}, \delta=b(\eta)$ has a unique solution $\eta$ with $0 \leq \eta<t_{0}$.

By using the maximum principle for normal harmonic mappings, we prove that a sequence of normal harmonic mappings $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the unit disk under the condition that $\max _{z \in C_{n}}\left|f_{n}(z)\right|$ converges to 0 , where $\left\{C_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of closed Jordan arcs with positive measure. Now, we state our next result which is a generalization of [15, Theorem 9.2] for normal functions.

Theorem 7. Suppose that $f_{n}$ are harmonic in $\mathbb{D}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f_{n}^{\#}(z) \leq \alpha<\infty, n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there exist Jordan arcs $C_{n} \subset \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{diam}\left(C_{n}\right)=\sup _{z, w \in C_{n}}|z-w| \geq \gamma>0, n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{z \in C_{n}}\left|f_{n}(z)\right| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f_{n}(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, locally uniformly in $\mathbb{D}$.
Definition 2. We say that a harmonic mapping $f$ in $\mathbb{D}$ has the asymptotic value $a \in \mathbb{C}$ at the point $\xi \in \mathbb{T}:=\{z:|z|=1\}$ if there exists a Jordan arc $\Gamma$ that ends at $\xi$ and lies otherwise in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(z) \rightarrow a$ for $z \in \Gamma, z \rightarrow \xi$.
We call such an arc an asymptotic path. If $\Gamma=\{\xi r: 0 \leq r \leq 1\}$, we call $a$ a radial limit (cf. [15]).

Definition 3. A (symmetric) Stolz angle is a set of the form

$$
A=\{z \in \mathbb{D}:|\arg (1-\bar{\xi} z)|<(\pi / 2)-\delta\} \quad(0<\delta<\pi / 2) .
$$

That is, it is a sector with vertex $\xi$ and angle less than $\pi$ symmetric to $[0, \xi]$. We say that $f$ has the angular limit $a$ at $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$ if $f(z) \rightarrow a$ as $z \rightarrow \xi, z \in A$ and for every Stolz angle $A$ at $\xi$ (cf. [15]).

By Definition 2, an angular limit is a radial limit and therefore is an asymptotic value. In the following theorem, we show that the converse is true for normal harmonic mappings. Therefore a normal harmonic mapping has at most one asymptotic value at any given point $\xi \in \mathbb{D}$.

Theorem 8. If the normal harmonic mapping $f$ has the asymptotic value a at $\xi$, then $f$ also has the angular limit $a$ at $\xi$.

In Section 2, we recall and also prove several lemmas which are useful to prove our main results. In Section 3, we present the proofs of the main theorems.

## 2. Several Lemmas

We begin this section with the following lemma which is a generalization of the corresponding one for analytic functions due to Marty (cf. [1, p. 169]).
Lemma 1. A class $\mathfrak{F}$ of harmonic mappings $f=h+\bar{g}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is normal if $\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right.$ : $f \in \mathfrak{F}\}$ (where $f^{\#}$ is defined in Definition 1) is uniformly locally bounded.

Proof. Consider $\chi\left(f\left(z_{1}\right), f\left(z_{2}\right)\right)$ defined as in (1.2) for $f\left(z_{1}\right) \neq \infty \neq f\left(z_{2}\right)$. It is then easy to see that, followed by the stereographic projection, $f$ maps an arc $\gamma$ on an image with length

$$
L(\gamma)=\int_{\gamma} \frac{|d f(z)|}{1+|f(z)|^{2}} \leq \int_{\gamma} \frac{\left(\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|+\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|\right)|d z|}{1+|h(z)+\overline{g(z)}|^{2}}=\int_{\gamma} f^{\#}(z)|d z| .
$$

If $f^{\#}(z) \leq M$ on the segment between $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$, where $M>0$ is independent of $f$, then we have

$$
\chi\left(f\left(z_{1}\right), f\left(z_{2}\right)\right) \leq \int_{\gamma} \rho(f)|d z| \leq M \int_{\gamma}|d z|=M\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|,
$$

which implies that harmonic mappings in $\mathfrak{F}$ are equicontinuous when $f^{\#}(z)$ 's are locally bounded. By Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem, the class $\mathfrak{F}$ is normal.

For a harmonic mapping $f=h+\bar{g}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ for some $z_{0} \in \mathbb{D}$, we have the power series expansions of $h$ and $g$ in $\left|z-z_{0}\right|<1-\left|z_{0}\right|$ of the form

$$
h(z)=a_{0}+\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{k}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{k}, \text { and } g(z)=b_{0}+\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} b_{k}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{k},
$$

where $f\left(z_{0}\right)=a_{0}+\overline{b_{0}}=0, a_{n} \neq 0$ and $b_{m} \neq 0$. If $m \neq n$ or $m=n$ and $\left|a_{n}\right| \neq\left|b_{m}\right|$, we say that $f$ has a zero of order $\min \{m, n\}$ at $z_{0}$.

It is known that the zeros of a sense-preserving harmonic mapping are isolated (cf. [5, p. 8]). We now recall the following lemma which is indeed the Hurwitz theorem for harmonic mappings.

Lemma 2. ([5, p. 10]) If $f$ and $f_{n}(n \geq 1)$ are sense-preserving harmonic mappings in $\mathbb{D}$, and $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ converges locally uniformly to $f$, then $z_{0} \in \mathbb{D}$ is a zero of $f$ if and only if it is a cluster point of the zeros of the functions $f_{n}(n \geq 1)$.

From Lemma 2, we observe that if $f$ has a zero of order $n$ at $z_{0}$ if and only if each small neighborhood of $z_{0}$ (small enough to contain no other zeros of $f$ ) contains precisely $n$ zeros, counted according to multiplicity, of $f_{n}$ for every $n$ sufficiently large. We say that $z=z_{0}$ is a multiple solution of $f(z)=\lambda$ if $z_{0}$ is a zero of order $n \geq 2$ of $f(z)-\lambda$, that is $f\left(z_{0}\right)=h\left(z_{0}\right)+\overline{g\left(z_{0}\right)}=\lambda,\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \neq 0$ and $\left|g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \neq 0$.

Using Lemma 2 and [6, Corollary 3], we prove the following lemma.
Lemma 3. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a sense-preserving harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{C}$ with $g(0)=0$. There are at most four values of $\lambda$ for which all solutions of $f(z)=\lambda$ are multiple solutions.

Proof. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be a sense-preserving harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{C}$ and $\omega(z)=\frac{g^{\prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}$. Then $|\omega(z)|<1$ in $\mathbb{C}$ and thus, by Liouville's theorem, $\omega(z) \equiv \alpha$ with the constant $|\alpha|<1$. This gives

$$
f(z)=h(z)+\overline{\alpha h(z)-\alpha h(0)}
$$

Now, for any number $\lambda, f(z)=\lambda$ is equivalent to

$$
h(z)=\frac{\lambda-\overline{\alpha \lambda}+\overline{\alpha h(0)}-|\alpha|^{2} h(0)}{1-|\alpha|^{2}} .
$$

Thus if all solutions of $f(z)=\lambda$ are multiple solutions, then so do the last equation. The converse is also true. By using [6, Corollary 3], there are at most four values $\lambda^{*}$ for which all solutions of $h(z)=\lambda^{*}$ are multiple solutions, which implies that there are at most four values $\lambda$ for which all solutions of $f(z)=\lambda$ are multiple solutions.

Lemma 4. ([2, Remark 1]) Let $\varphi$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and $|\varphi(z)|<1$. If $f=h+\bar{g}$ is a normal harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ and $\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z)=\alpha<\infty$, then $F=f \circ \varphi=H+\bar{G}$ is also normal in $\mathbb{D}$, and $\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) F^{\#}(z) \leq \alpha$ with equality if $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$.

Finally, we recall the identity theorem for harmonic mappings ([2, 17]).
Lemma 5. Let $f$ be harmonic in a connected open set $D$. If $f(z) \equiv 0$ in some open subset $G \subset D$, then $f(z) \equiv 0$ in $D$.

## 3. Proofs of theorems

By using the method of proof of [12, Theorem 1], one can easily prove Theorem 1 but for the sake of completeness, we include the details.
3.1. The proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that $f$ is not normal. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{z_{n}^{*}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\left|z_{n}^{*}\right|^{2}\right) f^{\#}\left(z_{n}^{*}\right) \rightarrow \infty \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which also implies that $\left|z_{n}^{*}\right| \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Let $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence such that $\left|z_{n}^{*}\right|<r_{n}<1$ and

$$
\left(1-\frac{\left|z_{n}^{*}\right|^{2}}{r_{n}^{2}}\right) f^{\#}\left(z_{n}^{*}\right) \rightarrow \infty \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Furthermore, we choose $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
M_{n}=\max _{|z|<r_{n}}\left(1-\frac{|z|^{2}}{r_{n}^{2}}\right) f^{\#}(z)=\left(1-\frac{\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}}{r_{n}^{2}}\right) f^{\#}\left(z_{n}\right)
$$

Since $\left|z_{n}^{*}\right|<r_{n}$, it follows from (3.1) that $M_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}=\frac{1}{M_{n}}\left(1-\frac{\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}}{r_{n}^{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{f^{\#}\left(z_{n}\right)}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\rho_{n}}{1-\left|z_{n}\right|} \leq \frac{\rho_{n}}{r_{n}-\left|z_{n}\right|}=\frac{r_{n}+\left|z_{n}\right|}{r_{n}^{2} M_{n}} \leq \frac{2}{r_{n} M_{n}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $F_{n}(\zeta)=f\left(z_{n}+\rho_{n} \zeta\right)$, where $|\zeta|<R_{n}=\frac{1-\left|z_{n}\right|}{\rho_{n}}$. From (3.3) we also note that $R_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from (3.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}^{\#}(0)=\rho_{n} f^{\#}\left(z_{n}\right)=1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We apply Lemma 1 to show that the sequence $\left\{F_{n}(\zeta)\right\}$ is normal. If $|\zeta| \leq R \leq R_{n}$, then, by (3.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{n}^{\#}(\zeta) & =\rho_{n} f^{\#}\left(z_{n}+\rho_{n} \zeta\right) \leq \frac{\rho_{n} M_{n}}{1-r_{n}^{-2}\left|z_{n}+\rho_{n} \zeta\right|^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{r_{n}+\left|z_{n}\right|}{r_{n}+\left|z_{n}\right|-\rho_{n} R}\left(\frac{r_{n}-\left|z_{n}\right|}{r_{n}-\left|z_{n}\right|-\rho_{n} R}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which, by (3.3), tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each fixed $R$. Hence $\left\{F_{n}(\zeta)\right\}$ is a normal sequence. We may assume that $\left\{F_{n}(\zeta)\right\}$ converges locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C}$. Then, the limit function $F(\zeta)$ is harmonic in $\mathbb{C}$, and is non-constant because, by (3.4), $F^{\#}(0)=1 \neq 0$.

Next, we prove the necessary part of the theorem. Let $f$ be normal in $\mathbb{D}$. Again, we recall that the functions $F_{n}(\zeta)$ given by

$$
F_{n}(\zeta)=f\left(z_{n}+\rho_{n} \zeta\right)
$$

are defined for $|\zeta|<\frac{1-\left|z_{n}\right|}{\rho_{n}}$, and by (1.3), we also have $\frac{\rho_{n}}{1-\left|z_{n}\right|} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that $\frac{\rho_{n}}{1-\left|z_{n}\right|-\rho_{n}|\zeta|} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $|\zeta|<\frac{1-\left|z_{n}\right|}{\rho_{n}}$. Since

$$
F_{n}^{\#}(\zeta)=\rho_{n} f^{\#}\left(z_{n}+\rho_{n} \zeta\right) \leq \frac{\rho_{n}}{1-\left|z_{n}\right|-\rho_{n}|\zeta|}\left(1-\left|z_{n}+\rho_{n} \zeta\right|^{2}\right) f^{\#}\left(z_{n}+\rho_{n} \zeta\right)
$$

and $f$ is normal, we have

$$
\left(1-\left|z_{n}+\rho_{n} \zeta\right|^{2}\right) f^{\#}\left(z_{n}+\rho_{n} \zeta\right)<\infty
$$

Therefore, $F_{n}^{\#}(\zeta) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and thus, $F^{\#}(\zeta)=0$ for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$, so that $F(\zeta)$ is a constant. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
3.2. The proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that $f$ is a sense-preserving harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ which is not normal. By Theorem 1 , there exist sequences $\left\{z_{n}^{*}\right\}$ and $\left\{\rho_{n}\right\}$ with $z_{n}^{*} \in \mathbb{D},\left|z_{n}^{*}\right| \rightarrow 1, \rho_{n}>0, \frac{\rho_{n}}{1-\left|z_{n}^{*}\right|} \rightarrow 0$ and a non-constant sense-preserving harmonic mapping $F$ in $\mathbb{C}$ such that the sequence $\left\{F_{n}\right\}, F_{n}(z)=f\left(z_{n}^{*}+\rho_{n} z\right)$, converges locally uniformly to $F$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\lambda$ be any complex number, finite or infinite, for which the equation $F(t)=\lambda$ has a solution $z_{0}$ which is not a multiple solution, that is $F^{\#}\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0$. By Lemma 2, in each neighborhood of $z_{0}$ all but a finite number of the functions $F_{n}$ assume the value $\lambda$. Thus there exists a sequence of points $z_{n}$ such that $z_{n} \rightarrow z_{0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $F_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)=\lambda$ for sufficiently large values of $n$. Also, since the convergence of $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ to $F$ is locally uniform, we have that $F_{n}^{\#}\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow F^{\#}\left(z_{0}\right)$. Letting $s_{n}=z_{n}^{*}+\rho_{n} z_{n}$, we get that $F_{n}^{\#}\left(z_{n}\right)=\rho_{n} f^{\#}\left(s_{n}\right)$ so that

$$
f^{\#}\left(s_{n}\right)\left(1-\left|s_{n}\right|\right)=F_{n}^{\#}\left(z_{n}\right) \frac{1-\left|z_{n}^{*}\right|}{\rho_{n}}\left(\frac{1-\left|s_{n}\right|}{1-\left|z_{n}^{*}\right|}\right) .
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have that $F_{n}^{\#}\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow F_{n}^{\#}\left(z_{0}\right), \frac{1-\left|z_{n}^{*}\right|}{\rho_{n}} \rightarrow \infty$, and $\frac{1-\left|s_{n}\right|}{1-\left|z_{n}^{*}\right|} \rightarrow 1$ which imply that $f^{\#}\left(s_{n}\right)\left(1-\left|s_{n}\right|\right) \rightarrow \infty$ and hence, $\left(1-\left|s_{n}\right|^{2}\right) f^{\#}\left(s_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we have shown that if the equation $F(z)=\lambda$ has a solution which is not a multiple solution, then

$$
\sup _{z \in f^{-1}(\lambda)}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z)=\infty
$$

However, by Lemma 3, there can be at most four values of $\lambda$ for which all solutions to the equation $F(z)=\lambda$ are multiple solutions. Thus, we have that if $f$ is a sensepreserving harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f$ is not normal, then for each complex number $\lambda$, with at most four exceptions, we have

$$
\sup _{z \in f^{-1}(\lambda)}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z)=\infty
$$

The proof is complete.
3.3. The proof of Theorem 3. Because $f$ is normal, by assumption, we have that

$$
f^{\#}(z) \leq \frac{c_{1}(f)}{1-|z|^{2}} \leq \frac{c_{1}(f)}{1-|z|}
$$

Let $\sigma=\chi(K, 2 K)$, and let

$$
A=\min \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sigma}{2 c_{1}(f)}\right\}
$$

Thus, if $z_{0} \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \leq K$, then we have $\left|z-z_{0}\right| \leq A\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|\right)$ implies

$$
\chi\left(f(z), f\left(z_{0}\right)\right) \leq \int_{L} f^{\#}(z)|d z| \leq \sigma
$$

where $L$ is the line segment between $z$ and $z_{0}$. Also, $\left|z-z_{0}\right|<A\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|\right)$ implies that $|f(z)| \leq 2 K$ which in turn gives that $F$ is normal, where

$$
F(z)=(f \circ \varphi)(z)=(h \circ \varphi)(z)+\overline{(g \circ \varphi)(z)}=H(z)+\overline{G(z)}, \quad \varphi(z)=\frac{z_{0}-z}{1-\overline{z_{0}} z} .
$$

As $\left|z-z_{0}\right|<A\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|\right)$ implies that $|f(z)| \leq 2 K$, we have $|z|<A$ implies that $|F(z)| \leq 2 K$.

Let $R=A / 2$. Then we have, for $z=r e^{i \theta}$ with $r<R$,

$$
F(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{R^{2}-r^{2}}{\left|R e^{i t}-z\right|^{2}} F\left(R e^{i t}\right) d t
$$

As

$$
\frac{R^{2}-r^{2}}{\left|R e^{i t}-z\right|^{2}}=\frac{R e^{i t}}{R e^{i t}-z}+\frac{\bar{z}}{R e^{-i t}-\bar{z}} \text { and } \frac{d^{m}}{d z^{m}}\left(\frac{a}{a-z}\right)=\frac{m!a}{(a-z)^{m+1}}
$$

we have

$$
H(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{R e^{i t}}{R e^{i t}-z} F\left(R e^{i t}\right) d t
$$

and thus,

$$
\left|H^{(m)}(0)\right|=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{m!R e^{i t}}{R^{m+1} e^{i t(m+1)}} F\left(R e^{i t}\right) d t\right| \leq \frac{2 K m!}{R^{m}} .
$$

Claim 1. $\left|h^{(m)}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{m}<E_{m}^{\prime}(f, K)$, where $E_{m}^{\prime}(f, K)$ is a constant which depends only on $m, f$ and $K$.
Let us prove Claim 1 by the method of induction. As $H(z)=h(\varphi(z))$, we first consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)-z_{0}=-\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right) \frac{z}{1-\overline{z_{0}} z}=-\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\overline{z_{0}}\right)^{k-1} z^{k} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\varphi^{(n)}(0)=n!\left(\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}-1\right)\left(\overline{z_{0}}\right)^{n-1}$, and compute that

$$
H^{\prime}(0)=h^{\prime}(\varphi(0)) \varphi^{\prime}(0)=h^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}-1\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\prime \prime}(0) & =h^{\prime \prime}(\varphi(0))\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{2}+h^{\prime}(\varphi(0)) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(0) \\
& =h^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}-1\right)^{2}+2 h^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}-1\right) \overline{z_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, by (3.5), we have

$$
\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)<\frac{2 K}{R}=E_{1}^{\prime}(f, K)
$$

and

$$
\left|h^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}<2 E_{1}^{\prime}(f, K)+\frac{4 K}{R^{2}}=E_{2}^{\prime}(f, K)
$$

Thus, the desired claim follows for $m=1,2$.

In order to apply the method of induction, we need to get an expression for $H^{(m)}(0)$ for $m \geq 3$ and for this, we consider again (3.5) and

$$
h(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^{(n)}\left(z_{0}\right)}{n!}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n} \text { for }\left|z-z_{0}\right|<A\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|\right)
$$

so that

$$
H(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H^{(n)}(0)}{n!} z^{n} \quad \text { for }|z|<\frac{1+z_{0}}{1-\left|z_{0}\right|} A .
$$

For integers $k$ and $n$ with $1 \leq k \leq n$, let $B_{1}(n)=1$ and

$$
B_{k}(n)=B_{k-1}(k-1)+B_{k-1}(k)+\cdots+B_{k-1}(n-1)
$$

It is easy to verify that

$$
\left|B_{k}(n)\right|<(n-k+2)^{k-1} \quad \text { for } 3 \leq k \leq n
$$

For $m=3$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) & =h^{\prime \prime \prime}(\varphi(0))\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{3}+3 h^{\prime \prime}(\varphi(0)) \varphi^{\prime}(0) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(0)+h^{\prime}(\varphi(0)) \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) \\
& =h^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}-1\right)^{3}+6 h^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}-1\right)^{2} \overline{z_{0}}+6 h^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}-1\right)\left(\overline{z_{0}}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the claim for $m=3$ is easily seen to be true. Next, for $m \geq 4$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{H^{(m)}(0)}{m!}= & h^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}-1\right)\left(\overline{z_{0}}\right)^{m-1}+\frac{h^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{2!}\left(\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}-1\right)^{2}\left(\overline{z_{0}}\right)^{m-2}(m-1) \\
& +\sum_{k=3}^{m-1} \frac{h^{(k)}\left(z_{0}\right)}{k!}\left(\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}-1\right)^{k}\left(\overline{z_{0}}\right)^{m-k} B_{k}(m)+\frac{h^{(m)}\left(z_{0}\right)}{m!}\left(\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}-1\right)^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we assume that the claim is true for $m=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, and show that it is also true for $m=n$. Indeed, using the last expression for $m=n$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{h^{(n)}\left(z_{0}\right)}{n!}\left(\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}-1\right)^{n}\right|<\frac{2 K}{R^{n}} & +E_{1}^{\prime}(f, K)+(n-1) E_{2}^{\prime}(f, K) \\
& +\sum_{k=3}^{n-1} B_{k}(n) E_{k}^{\prime}(f, K)=E_{n}^{\prime}(f, K)
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the similar argument as that of Claim 1, we have

$$
\left|\frac{g^{(m)}\left(z_{0}\right)}{m!}\left(\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}-1\right)^{n}\right|<E_{m}^{\prime \prime}(f, K)
$$

where $E_{m}^{\prime \prime}(f, K)$ is a constant which depends only on $m, f$ and $K$. Now, if we let $E_{m}(f, K)=E_{m}^{\prime}(f, K)+E_{m}^{\prime \prime}(f, K)$, then

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{m}\left(\left|h^{(m)}(z)\right|+\left|g^{(m)}(z)\right|\right) \leq E_{m}(f, K) \text { for each } z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

and such that $|f(z)| \leq K$.
3.4. The proof of Theorem 4. We first assume that $f$ is normal in $\mathbb{D}$. Assume the contrary of the assertion that there is a pair of sequences $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{D}$ such that $\rho\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but $\alpha=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(z_{n}\right) \neq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(w_{n}\right)=\beta$. Put

$$
f_{n}=f \circ \phi_{z_{n}} \text { with } \phi_{z_{n}}(z)=z_{n}+\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right) z \text { and } u_{n}=\frac{w_{n}-z_{n}}{1-\left|z_{n}\right|} \text {. }
$$

As $f\left(z_{n}\right)=f_{n}(0)$ and $f\left(w_{n}\right)=f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)$, we have $f_{n}(0) \rightarrow \alpha$ and $f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \beta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\rho\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $\rho\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right)<1 / 2$ for all sufficiently large $n$. It follows that $\left|1-\overline{w_{n}} z_{n}\right| \leq 4\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right)$ for all such $n$. Hence $\left|u_{n}\right| \leq 4 \rho\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi\left(f_{n}(0), f_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right) & \leq\left|u_{n}\right| \int_{0}^{1} f_{n}^{\#}\left(t u_{n}\right) d t=\left|u_{n}\right| \int_{0}^{1} f^{\#}\left(\phi_{z_{n}}\left(t u_{n}\right)\right)\left|\phi_{z_{n}}^{\prime}\left(t u_{n}\right)\right| d t \\
& =\left|w_{n}-z_{n}\right| \int_{0}^{1} f^{\#}\left(\phi_{z_{n}}\left(t u_{n}\right)\right) d t \\
& \leq 2\left(\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} f^{\#}(z)\left(1-\left|z^{2}\right|\right)\right) \frac{\left|w_{n}-z_{n}\right|}{1-\left|z_{n}\right|} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts the fact that $\chi(\alpha, \beta)=0$.
Conversely, suppose that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(z_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(w_{n}\right)$ for all sequences $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $\rho\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$.

Let $\varphi_{n} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D}), n=1,2, \ldots$, and $z_{0} \in \mathbb{D}$. Also, we assume that $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of points in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $w_{n} \rightarrow z_{0}$ and $f\left(\varphi_{n}\left(w_{n}\right)\right)$ converges to $\alpha$ for some $\alpha$. For the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{D}$, obviously, if $\rho\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\rho\left(f\left(\varphi_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right), f\left(\varphi_{n}\left(w_{n}\right)\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that $f\left(\varphi_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow \alpha$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and then $f \circ \varphi_{n}$ is continuously convergent at $z_{0}$. Since $z_{0}$ is an arbitrary point in $\mathbb{D}$, we conclude that $f \circ \varphi_{n}$ is continuously convergent at each point of $\mathbb{D}$. Hence $\left\{f \circ \varphi_{n}\right\}$ is a normal family, and thus, $\left\{h \circ \varphi_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{g \circ \varphi_{n}\right\}$ are normal families. Therefore $h$ and $g$ are normal (cf. [13]). By the assumption, either $h$ or $g$ is bounded and thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that $g$ is bounded, i. e., $|g(z)| \leq M$ in $\mathbb{D}$ for some $M>0$. For $z \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|g(z)|<\frac{|h(z)|}{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z) & =\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|+\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+|h(z)+\overline{g(z)}|^{2}} \\
& \leq\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+\frac{1}{3}|h(z)|^{2}}+\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
& \leq 3\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) h^{\#}(z)+(1+M)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) g^{\#}(z) \\
& <\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 1. Lens-shaped domain of angle $\beta(0<\beta<\pi)$ cut off from $\mathbb{D}$ by the circular arc $B$

For $z \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|g(z)| \geq \frac{|h(z)|}{3}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z) & =\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|+\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+|h(z)+\overline{g(z)}|^{2}} \\
& \leq\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|+\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
& \leq\left(1+9 M^{2}\right)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) h^{\#}(z)+(1+M)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) g^{\#}(z) \\
& <\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

The preceding argument shows that $f$ is normal in $\mathbb{D}$.
3.5. The proof of Theorem 6. By choosing a suitable $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ and replacing $f$ by $F=f \circ \varphi$, we assume that $B$ is a circular arc passing through -1 and 1 , and $G$ lies below the $\operatorname{arc} B$. By Lemma $4, F=f \circ \varphi=H+\bar{G}$ is also normal in $\mathbb{D}$, and

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) F^{\#}(z) \leq \alpha .
$$

For $0<\beta^{\prime}<\beta$, let $G^{\prime}$ be the intersection of $G$ with the domain of angle $\beta^{\prime}$ cut off by the circular arc $B^{\prime}$ through $\pm 1$. See Figure 1.

Suppose that (1.6) does not hold. Since $|f(z)| \leq \delta<\eta$ for $z \in \partial G \backslash B$ by (1.5) and (1.7), and since $G \subset \mathbb{D}$, there exists $\beta^{\prime}$ such that $|f(z)| \leq \eta$ for $z \in G^{\prime}$. Let $\beta^{\prime}\left(0<\beta^{\prime}<\beta\right)$ be the largest such number. Then

$$
\eta=\sup _{z \in G^{\prime}}|f(z)|=\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|
$$

for some $z_{0} \in B^{\prime} \backslash \partial G$. By a further linear fractional transformation we may assume that $z_{0}=i y_{0}, y_{0} \in(-1,1)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}=\tan \left(\frac{\beta^{\prime}}{2}-\frac{\pi}{4}\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
a(z)=|f(z)| \exp \left[\frac{b}{i} \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)+\frac{\pi b}{2}-2 b \beta^{\prime}\right], \quad z \in G^{\prime}
$$

where $b=\frac{1}{\beta^{\prime}} \log \frac{\eta}{\delta}>0$. It is known that every point in $\mathbb{D}$ lies on one of the circular arcs that passes through $-1, i y$ and 1 for some $y \in(-1,1)$, and on this circular arc

$$
\arg \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)=\arg \left(\frac{1+i y}{1-i y}\right)=\arctan \left(\frac{2 y}{1-y^{2}}\right)=2 \arctan y
$$

from which it follows that $\exp \left[{ }_{i}^{b} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}+\frac{\pi b}{2}-2 b \beta^{\prime}\right]$ and $a(z)$ with $z \in \overline{G^{\prime}}$ attains its maximum modulus on the boundary point $z_{0}=i y_{0}$. Since

$$
\max _{z \in B^{\prime} \cap \partial G^{\prime}}|a(z)| \leq \eta \exp \left(-b \beta^{\prime}\right)=\delta
$$

and $\partial G^{\prime} \backslash B^{\prime} \subset \partial G \backslash B$, we obtain from (1.5) that

$$
\sup _{z \in \partial G^{\prime} \backslash B^{\prime}}|a(z)| \leq \sup _{z \in \partial G^{\prime} \backslash B^{\prime}}|f(z)| \leq \sup _{z \in \partial G \backslash B}|f(z)| \leq \delta .
$$

Since $a(z)\left(z \in \overline{G^{\prime}}\right)$ attains its maximum modulus on the boundary point $z_{0}=i y_{0}$, it follows that $|a(z)| \leq \delta$ for $z \in G^{\prime}$, so that

$$
\log |f(i y)| \leq \log \delta+2 b \beta^{\prime}-\frac{\pi b}{2}-2 b \arctan y, i y \in G^{\prime}
$$

We have from (3.6) that $\beta^{\prime}=\frac{\pi}{2}+2 \arctan y_{0}$. Since $\left|f\left(i y_{0}\right)\right|=\eta$, we have

$$
\log \left|f\left(i y_{0}\right)\right|=\log \eta=\log \delta+b \beta^{\prime}=\log \delta+2 b \beta^{\prime}-\frac{\pi b}{2}-2 b \arctan y_{0}
$$

Therefore

$$
\log |f(i y)|-\log \left|f\left(i y_{0}\right)\right| \leq-2 b\left(\arctan y-\arctan y_{0}\right), i y \in G^{\prime}
$$

Letting $y \rightarrow y_{0}^{+}$yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(i \frac{h^{\prime}\left(i y_{0}\right)-\overline{g^{\prime}\left(i y_{0}\right)}}{f\left(i y_{0}\right)}\right) \leq \frac{-2 b}{1+y_{0}^{2}}=-\frac{2 \log (\eta / \delta)}{\beta^{\prime}\left(1+y_{0}^{2}\right)} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $\left|f\left(i y_{0}\right)\right|=\eta$, it follows from (1.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{h^{\prime}\left(i y_{0}\right)-\overline{g^{\prime}\left(i y_{0}\right)}}{f\left(i y_{0}\right)}\right| \leq \frac{\left|h^{\prime}\left(i y_{0}\right)\right|+\left|g^{\prime}\left(i y_{0}\right)\right|}{\left|f\left(i y_{0}\right)\right|} \leq \frac{\alpha\left(1+\eta^{2}\right)}{\eta\left(1-y_{0}^{2}\right)}=\frac{\alpha\left(\eta+\eta^{-1}\right)}{\left(1+y_{0}^{2}\right) \sin \beta^{\prime}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence (3.7) and (3.8) imply that

$$
\delta \geq \eta \exp \left[-\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}\left(\eta+\frac{1}{\eta}\right)\right] \text { and } k^{\prime}=\frac{\alpha \beta^{\prime}}{\sin \beta^{\prime}}
$$

which contradicts the fact that $k^{\prime}<k$. The proof is complete.
3.6. The proof of Theorem 7. Suppose that the assertion is false. By (1.8) and Lemma 1 , the sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is normal in $\mathbb{D}$. Taking a subsequence we may therefore assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(z) \rightarrow f(z) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

locally uniformly in $\mathbb{D}$, where $f$ is a harmonic mapping such that $f\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0$ for some $z_{0} \in \mathbb{D}$.

Now, we consider the first case that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{n}=\inf \left\{|z|: z \in C_{n}\right\} \rightarrow 1 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.9), there exist points $a_{n}, b_{n} \in C_{n}$ with $\left|a_{n}-b_{n}\right|=\gamma$. If $B_{n}$ denotes the circle through $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ that is orthogonal to $\partial \mathbb{D}$, then for sufficiently large values of $n$, $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ lie on different arcs of $B_{n}^{*}=B_{n} \bigcap\left\{z_{n}: \gamma_{n} \leq\left|z_{n}\right| \leq 1\right\}$. Hence we can find a subarc $C_{n}^{\prime}$ of $C_{n}$ that intersects each arc of $B_{n}^{*}$ exactly once. By (3.10) the subarc $B_{n}^{\prime}$ of $B_{n}$ between the end points of $C_{n}^{\prime}$ does not intersect $C_{n}^{\prime}$ at any other point. If $G_{n}$ is the inner domain of the Jordan curve $B_{n}^{\prime} \cup C_{n}^{\prime}$, then $\partial G_{n}=B_{n}^{\prime} \cup C_{n}^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{D}$, which shows that $\overline{G_{n}} \subset \mathbb{D}$. Hence we obtain from (1.8), (1.10) and Theorem 6 (with $\beta=\pi / 2$ ) that

$$
\max _{z \in B_{n}^{\prime}}\left|f_{n}(z)\right| \leq \max _{z \in \overline{G_{n}}}\left|f_{n}(z)\right| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Since $B_{n}^{\prime}$ intersects the disk $\{z:|z|<r\}$ for some $r<1$ and for large values of $n$, it therefore follows from (3.9) and Lemma 5 that $f(z) \equiv 0$, which is false.

In the case that (3.10) does not hold, $C_{n}$ intersects the closed disk $\{z:|z| \leq r\}$ for some $r<1$ and for infinitely many values of $n$. Hence it follows from (1.9), (1.10), (3.9) and Lemma 5 that $f(z) \equiv 0$, which is again false. The proof is complete.
3.7. The proof of Theorem 8. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\xi=1$ and $a=0$. Suppose that $z_{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $z_{n} \in A$, where $A$ is a Stolz angle at $\xi$. First, we choose two real sequences $\left\{\xi_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$, and $r<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}=\varphi_{n}\left(i y_{n}\right), \varphi_{n}(s)=\frac{s+\xi_{n}}{1+\xi_{n} s},\left|y_{n}\right| \leq r, \quad \xi_{n} \rightarrow 1^{-} \text {as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $\left|z_{n}\right|<1$. The pre-image $\varphi_{n}^{-1}(\Gamma)$ of the asymptotic path $\Gamma$ intersects the imaginary axis for sufficiently large values of $n$. Hence we can find a subarc $C_{n}$ of $\mathbb{D} \bigcap \varphi_{n}^{-1}(\Gamma)$ such that diam $C_{n} \geq \frac{1}{2}, \operatorname{Re} z>0$ for $z \in C_{n}$, and there exists a sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ in the arc $\varphi_{n}\left(C_{n}\right) \subset \Gamma$ such that $w_{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{s \in C_{n}}\left|f\left(\varphi_{n}(s)\right)\right|=\max _{z \in \varphi_{n}\left(C_{n}\right)}|f(z)| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f \circ \varphi_{n}$ is normal harmonic in $\mathbb{D}$ by Lemma 1 , from (3.12), we obtain that $f\left(\varphi_{n}(s)\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $|s| \leq r$. Hence (3.11) shows that $f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The proof is complete.
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