

# On a tensor-analogue of the Schur product

K. Sumesh and V.S. Sunder  
 Institute of Mathematical Sciences  
 Chennai 600113  
 INDIA

email: sumeshkpl@gmail.com, sunder@imsc.res.in

July 16, 2018

## Abstract

We consider the *tensorial Schur product*  $R \circ^{\otimes} S = [r_{ij} \otimes s_{ij}]$  for  $R \in M_n(\mathcal{A}), S \in M_n(\mathcal{B})$ , with  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  unital  $C^*$ -algebras, verify that such a ‘tensorial Schur product’ of positive operators is again positive, and then use this fact to prove (an apparently marginally more general version of) the classical result of Choi that a linear map  $\phi : M_n \rightarrow M_d$  is completely positive if and only if  $[\phi(E_{ij})] \in M_n(M_d)^+$ , where of course  $\{E_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$  denotes the usual system of matrix units in  $M_n(= M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ . We also discuss some other corollaries of the main result.

## 1 The result

We start with some notation: (We assume, for convenience, that all our  $C^*$ -algebras are unital.) We denote an element of a matrix algebra by capital letters, such as  $R$ , and denote its entries by either  $[R]_{ij}$  or the corresponding lower case letter  $r_{ij}$ . This is primarily because  $[R^*]_{ij} = (r_{ji})^* \neq [R^*]_{ji}$ !

**DEFINITION 1.1.** 1. If  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are  $C^*$ -algebras, and  $\phi : M_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a positive map, define  $\phi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \otimes M_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes_{alg} \mathcal{B}$  (where  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{alg} \mathcal{B}$  denotes the algebraic tensor product of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ ) by  $\phi_{\mathcal{A}} = id_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \phi$ .

2. If  $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathcal{A}), B = [b_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathcal{B})$ , define  $A \circ^\otimes B = [a_{ij} \otimes b_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{alg} \mathcal{B})$ .

For later use, we isolate a lemma, whose elementary verification we omit.

LEMMA 1.2. *The map  $\pi : M_n(\mathcal{A}) \otimes M_k \rightarrow M_{nk}(\mathcal{A})$  defined by*

$$[\pi(R \otimes C)]_{i\alpha, j\beta} = c_{\alpha\beta} r_{ij} \quad (1.1)$$

*is a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism for any  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ ; in the sequel, we shall simply use this  $\pi$  to make the identification  $M_n(\mathcal{A}) \otimes M_k = M_{nk}(\mathcal{A})$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{A} \otimes M_k = M_k(\mathcal{A})$ .*

REMARK 1.3. *There is clearly a right version of the above Lemma: i.e.,  $M_k \otimes M_n(\mathcal{A}) = M_{kn}(\mathcal{A})$ .*

PROPOSITION 1.4.

$$R \in M_n(\mathcal{A})^+, S \in M_n(\mathcal{B})^+ \Rightarrow R \circ^\otimes S \in M_n(\mathcal{C})^+, \quad (1.2)$$

*where  $\mathcal{C}$  denotes - here and in the rest of this short note - any  $C^*$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{alg} \mathcal{B}$ . In particular,*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n r_{ij} \otimes s_{ij} \in M_n(\mathcal{C})^+. \quad (1.3)$$

*Proof.* To deduce eqn. (1.3) from eqn. (1.2), we let  $1_n \in M_{n \times 1}(\mathcal{C})^+$  be the  $n \times 1$  column-vector with all entries equal to  $1_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{B}}$ , and note that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n r_{ij} \otimes s_{ij} = 1_n^*(R \circ^\otimes S)1_n.$$

Now for the slightly less immediate eqn. (1.2). By assumption,  $R \otimes S \in M_{n^2}(\mathcal{C})^+$ .

In the sequel all the variables  $i, j, k, l, p, q$  will range over the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and we shall simply write  $\sum_k$  for  $\sum_{k=1}^n$ .

Now define  $V \in M_{n \times n^2}(\mathcal{C})$  by

$$[V]_{i,pq} = \delta_{pi} \delta_{qi} (1_A \otimes 1_B).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
[V(R \otimes S)V^*]_{ij} &= \sum_{p,q,k,l} [V]_{i,pq} [R \otimes S]_{pq,kl} [V^*]_{kl,j} \\
&= [R \otimes S]_{ii,jj} \\
&= r_{ij} \otimes s_{ij}
\end{aligned}$$

and so,

$$V(R \otimes S)V^* = R \circ^{\otimes} S.$$

The proof of the Proposition is complete.  $\square$

REMARK 1.5. *Note that the proof shows that  $R \circ^{\otimes} S \in M_n(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{alg} \mathcal{B})$ .*

The classical result of Choi alluded to in the abstract is the equivalence  $2. \Leftrightarrow 3.$  in the following Corollary, for the case  $\mathcal{B} = M_d$  (see [1]).

COROLLARY 1.6. *The following conditions on a linear map  $\phi : M_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  are equivalent:*

1. *For any  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , the map  $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}(:= id_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \phi) : \mathcal{A} \otimes M_n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a positive map for any  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}$  as in Proposition 1.4.*
2. *The map  $\phi$  is CP.<sup>1</sup>*
3.  *$[\phi(E_{ij})] \in M_n(\mathcal{B})^+$ .*

*Proof.* We only prove the non-trivial implication  $3. \Rightarrow 1.$  if  $R \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes M_n)^+ = M_n(\mathcal{A})^+$ , and if  $R = [r_{ij}]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\mathcal{A}}(R) &= (id_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \phi) \left( \sum_{ij} r_{ij} \otimes E_{ij} \right) \\
&= \sum_{ij} r_{ij} \otimes \phi(E_{ij}) \\
&\in M_n(\mathcal{C})^+,
\end{aligned}$$

by eqn. (1.3).  $\square$

---

<sup>1</sup>For an explanation of terms like CP (= completely positive) and operator system, the reader may consult [3], for instance.

COROLLARY 1.7. *Let  $R \in M_n(\mathcal{A})^+$ . Then the map  $M_n(\mathcal{B}) \ni S \xrightarrow{L_R} R \circ^\otimes S \in M_n(\mathcal{C})$  is CP. In particular  $R \in M_n^+ \Rightarrow M_n \ni S \rightarrow R \circ S \in M_n$  is also CP.*

*Proof.* To avoid confusion, we use Greek letters  $\alpha, \beta$  etc., to denote elements of  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  and English letters  $i, j$  etc. to denote elements of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Suppose  $[\hat{S}] \in M_{kn}(\mathcal{B})^+ = M_k(M_n(\mathcal{B}))^+$  is given by  $[\hat{S}]_{\alpha i, \beta j} := [S_{\alpha, \beta}]_{i, j}$  (see Lemma 1.2), where of course  $S_{\alpha\beta} \in M_n(\mathcal{B}) \forall \alpha, \beta$ . Let  $J_k \in M_k$  be (the all 1 matrix) given by  $[J_k]_{\alpha\beta} = 1 \forall \alpha, \beta$ . Then we see that  $J_k \geq 0$  (in fact  $J_k/k$  is a projection) and so,

$$\begin{aligned} [L_R(S_{\alpha\beta})] &= [[r_{ij} \otimes [S_{\alpha\beta}]_{ij}]] \\ &= [[[J_k]_{\alpha\beta} r_{ij} \otimes [S_{\alpha\beta}]_{ij}]] \\ &= [[J_k \otimes R]_{\alpha i, \beta j} \otimes [\hat{S}]_{\alpha i, \beta j}] \quad (\text{see Remark 1.3}) \\ &= (J_k \otimes R) \circ^\otimes \hat{S} \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 1.4 applied with  $R, n, S$  there replaced by  $J_k \otimes R, kn, \hat{S}$ , since  $[J_k \otimes R]_{\alpha i, \beta j} = r_{ij} \forall \alpha, \beta$ . The second statement of the Corollary is just the specialisation of the first statement to  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$

REMARK 1.8. *The special case  $n = 1$  of Corollary 1.7 perhaps merits singling out: If  $r \in \mathcal{A}^+$ , then the map  $\mathcal{B} \ni s \xrightarrow{L_r} r \otimes s \in \mathcal{C}$  is CP.*

REMARK 1.9. *It should be clear that there is a ‘right’ version of all the ‘left’ statements discussed above.*

The proofs suggest that these results might well admit formulations in the language of operator systems; however, we suspect that such ‘generalisations’ will follow from nuclearity of  $M_n$  and the flexibility in the choice of  $\mathcal{C}$  in our formulation, in view of the Choi-Effros theorem (see [2]).

## References

- [1] M. D. Choi, *Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices*, Linear Algebra and Appl. **10** (1975), 285–290.
- [2] M. D. Choi and E. G. Effros, *Injectivity and operator spaces*, J. of Functional Analysis **24** (1977), no. 2, 156–209.

- [3] G. Pisier, *Introduction to Operator Space Theory*. LMS Lecture Note Series 294, Cambridge University Press, 2003.