

Relay Autotuning for Identification and Control

M. Chidambaram

Indian Institute of Technology, Chennai

Vivek Sathe

Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Technological University, Maharashtra



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-05871-2 - Relay Autotuning for Identification and Control
M. Chidambaram and Vivek Sathe
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge House, 4381/4 Ansari Road, Daryaganj, Delhi 110002, India
Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107058712

© M. Chidambaram and Vivek Sathe 2014

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2014

Printed in India

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Chidambaram, M.

Relay autotuning for identification and control / M. Chidambaram, Vivek Sathe.
pages cm

Summary: "Provides a simple method of designing P/PI controllers for series and parallel cascade control schemes for effective industrial operations"—Provided by publisher.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-05871-2 (hardback)

1. Relay control systems. 2. Self-tuning controllers. 3. Electric relays—Automatic control.

I. Sathe, Vivek. II. Title.

TJ217.C4765 2014

629.8'36—dc23

ISBN 978-1-107-05871-2 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>ix</i>
<i>List of Tables</i>	<i>xvii</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>xxi</i>
<i>Preface</i>	<i>xxiii</i>
1. Introduction	
1.1 Relay Feedback Method	1
1.2 Identification by Symmetric Relay Feedback Method	3
1.3 Identification using Asymmetrical Relay	4
1.4 Identification of Unstable Processes	5
1.5 Autotuning of Cascade Control System	6
1.6 Relay Tuning of Multivariable System	7
1.7 PI/PID Controller Design	8
2. Improved Autotune Identification Methods	
2.1 Introduction	12
2.2 Estimation of Time Delay	13
2.3 Method for Considering Higher Order Harmonics	15
2.4 Simulation Study	20
2.5 Modified Asymmetrical Relay Method for Improved System Identification	22
2.6 Method	23
2.7 Simulation Results	25
2.8 Conclusions	29
3. Cascade Controllers Tuning by Relay Autotune Method	
3.1 Introduction	30
3.2 Method 1	31
3.3 Method 2	35
3.4 Parallel Cascade Controllers	38

iv Contents

3.5	Method 1 for Parallel Cascade Control Systems	38
3.6	Method 2 for Parallel Cascade Control Systems	41
3.7	Conclusions	42
4.	Simultaneous Relay Autotuning of Cascade Controllers	
4.1	Introduction	43
4.2	Method for Series Cascade Control Systems	44
4.3	Method for Parallel Cascade Control Systems	49
4.4	Simulation Study	50
4.5	Higher Order Harmonics in both the Loops	52
4.6	Conclusions	56
5.	A Simple Method of Tuning Cascade Controllers	
5.1	Introduction	57
5.2	Method for Series Cascade Control Systems	58
5.3	Simulation Results	60
5.4	Stability Analysis	70
5.5	Method for Parallel Cascade Control Systems	71
5.6	Simulation Results for Parallel Cascade Controllers	73
5.7	Conclusions	78
6.	Improved Saturation Relay Test for Systems with Large Dead Time	
6.1	Introduction	79
6.2	Importance of Saturation Relay Slope	80
6.3	Method 1	80
6.4	Method 2	82
6.5	Simulation Study	83
6.5.1	Case study 1	83
6.5.2	Effect of noise	85
6.5.3	Case study 2	86
6.5.4	Case studies 3 and 4	87
6.6	Non-Relay Identification Methods	89
6.7	Conclusions	91
7.	Identification of FOPTD Model using Single Symmetrical Relay Test	
7.1	Introduction	93
7.2	Problem Description	94
7.3	Relay Test	94

7.4	Estimation of k_p , D and τ	94
7.5	Simulation Study	97
7.5.1	Case study 1	97
7.5.2	Case study 2	99
7.5.3	Case study 3	100
7.5.4	Case study 4	101
7.6	Conclusions	104
8.	Autotuning of PID Controllers for Unstable FOPTD Systems	
8.1	Introduction	105
8.2	Problem Description	107
8.3	Consideration of Higher Order Harmonics	107
8.4	Estimation of k_p , D and τ	110
8.5	Simulation Study	111
8.5.1	Case study 1	111
8.5.2	Case study 2	113
8.5.3	Effect of measurement noise on identification	115
8.5.4	Effect of load on model parameter identification	116
8.6	Simulation Study of an Unstable Non-linear Bioreactor	117
8.7	Conclusions	119
9.	Autotuning of PID Controllers for Critically Damped SOPTD Systems	
9.1	Introduction	120
9.2	Problem Description	121
9.3	Consideration of Higher Order Harmonics	122
9.4	Estimation of k_p , D and τ using k_u	127
9.5	Simulation Study	128
9.5.1	Case study 1	128
9.5.2	Case study 2	131
9.5.3	Case study 3	133
9.5.4	Effect of measurement noise on identification	135
9.5.5	Effect of load on model parameter identification	135
9.6	Simulation Study of a Non-linear Bioreactor System	136
9.7	Estimation of k_p , D and τ without using k_u	139
9.8	Simulation Study	140
9.9	Conclusions	142
10.	Estimation of SOPTD Transfer Function Model	
10.1	Introduction	143

vi Contents

10.2	Problem Description	144
10.3	Relay Test	144
10.4	Estimation of Process Gain	145
10.5	Estimation of θ , τ_1 and τ_2	145
10.6	Simulation Results	147
10.6.1	Case study 1	147
10.6.2	Case study 2	148
10.6.3	Case study 3	149
10.6.4	Case study 4	149
10.7	Conclusions	150
11. Estimation of Five Parameters of Unstable SOPTD Model with a Zero		
11.1	Introduction	151
11.2	Problem Description	153
11.3	Relay Test	153
11.4	Parameter Estimation	153
11.5	Simulation Results	156
11.5.1	Case study 1	156
11.5.2	Case study 2	159
11.5.3	Case study 3	160
11.6	Simulation Application to a Non-linear Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor (CSTR)	162
11.7	Conclusions	164
12. Identification of FOPTD Multivariable Systems		
12.1	Introduction	165
12.2	Relay Identification	166
12.3	Estimation of Model Parameters (τ and θ)	168
12.4	Comparison of Closed Loop Performance	168
12.5	Examples	169
12.5.1	Example 1	169
12.5.2	Example 2	170
12.6	Conclusions	173
13. Identification of SOPTD Multivariable Systems		
13.1	Introduction	174
13.2	Relay Identification	175
13.3	Estimation of Model Parameters	176
13.4	Comparison of Closed Loop Performance	177

13.5	Simulation Examples	177
13.6	Conclusions	180
14.	Tuning of Multivariable Controllers for Non-Minimum Phase Systems	
14.1	Introduction	183
14.2	Controller Design Methods	184
14.2.1	Simple tuning method	184
14.2.2	Decoupled internal model controller method	185
14.3	Comparison Criterion of Controllers Performance	187
14.4	Examples	187
14.4.1	Example 1	187
14.4.2	Example 2	191
14.4.3	Example 3	192
14.5	Conclusions	193
15.	Tuning of Multivariable Controllers by Genetic Algorithms	
15.1	Introduction	195
15.2	Genetic Algorithms	196
15.3	Objective Function	196
15.4	Design Example	197
15.5	Decentralized Controllers	198
15.6	Centralized Controllers	202
15.7	Example 2: Niederlinski Model	203
15.8	Conclusions	205
16.	Summary and Conclusions	
16.1	Improved Autotune Identification Method	206
16.2	Series Cascade Controller Tuning	206
16.2.1	Symmetric relay method	207
16.2.2	Asymmetric relay method	207
16.2.3	Simultaneous relay autotuning of cascade controllers	208
16.3	A Simple Method of Designing Cascade Controllers	209
16.4	Improved Saturation Relay Test for Systems with Large Dead Time	209
16.5	Model Parameters using Single Symmetrical Relay Test	210
16.5.1	FOPTD systems	210
16.5.2	Autotuning of unstable FOPTD system	210
16.5.3	Autotuning of PID controllers for critically damped stable SOPTD system	210

viii Contents

16.6	Estimation of Model Parameters of SOPTD System	211
16.7	Identification of Five Parameters of Unstable SOPTD System	211
16.8	Identification of FOPTD Multivariable Systems	212
16.9	Identification of SOPTD Multivariable Systems	212
16.10	Comparison of Multivariable Controllers Tuning Methods	212
16.11	Tuning of Multivariable Controllers by Genetic Algorithm	213
16.12	Conclusions	213
	<i>Appendix A</i>	215
	<i>Appendix B</i>	220
	<i>Appendix C</i>	230
	<i>Nomenclature</i>	239
	<i>Problems</i>	243
	<i>Suggestive Reading</i>	247
	<i>References</i>	249
	<i>Index</i>	259

List of Figures

1.1	Block diagram for symmetric relay feedback system	2
1.2	Response of a relay feedback system	2
1.3	Block diagram for asymmetric relay feedback system	5
1.4	Block diagram for saturation relay feedback system	5
1.5	Series cascade control scheme	6
1.6	Relay feedback tuning of cascade control system	7
2.1	Relay feedback oscillations for the system $\exp(-2s)/[(10s + 1)(s + 1)]$ relay height = 1	14
2.2	The open loop comparisons of the original system and the identified model	15
2.3	Relay feedback oscillations for the system (a) $\exp(-4s)/(s+1)$; (b) $\exp(-4s)/(0.4s+1)$ relay height = 1	18
2.4	Relay feedback oscillations for the system $\exp(-4s)/(0.5s+1)^3$ relay height = 1	21
2.5	Open loop comparisons of actual system and the identified FOPTD models	21
2.6	The closed loop response of the system $\exp(-4s)/(0.5s+1)^3$ (controller design by the closed Ziegler–Nichols method)	22
2.7	The closed loop performance of the system $\exp(-4s)/(0.5s+1)^3$ (controller design by the open Ziegler–Nichols method)	22
2.8	Block diagram for asymmetric relay feedback system	23
2.9	Closed loop response comparisons for case study 1	25
2.10	Closed loop response comparisons for case study 2	26
2.11	Closed loop response comparisons for case study 3	27
2.12	Closed loop servo response of the unstable FOPTD system	28
3.1	Relay feedback tuning of cascade control system	30
3.2	Response in y_2 using symmetric relay (with relay height ± 1) in inner loop	31
3.3	Response in y_1 for symmetric relay in outer loop (with inner loop on PI settings)	32

x List of Figures

3.4a	Servo response in y_1 using PID controller for outer loop and PI for inner loop for the first example	33
3.4b	Response in u corresponding to Fig. 3.4a. PID controller for outer loop and PI for inner loop (for first example)	33
3.5	Regulatory response in y_1 for a disturbance in inner loop. PID controller for outer loop and PI for inner loop (first example)	34
3.6a	Servo response in y_1 using PID controller for outer loop and PI for inner loop for the second example	36
3.6b	Response in u corresponding to Fig. 3.6a. PID controller for outer loop and PI for inner loop (second example)	37
3.6c	Regulatory response in y_1 for a disturbance in inner loop. PID controller for outer loop and PI for inner loop (for second example)	37
3.7	Parallel cascade control scheme	39
3.8	Response in y_2 using symmetric relay (with relay height ± 1) in inner loop	39
3.9	Response in y_1 for symmetric relay in outer loop (with inner loop on PI settings)	39
3.10	Servo response in y_1 using the Ziegler–Nichols settings. PID controller for outer loop and PI for inner loop	40
3.11	Regulatory response in y_1 for a disturbance in the inner loop using the Ziegler–Nichols settings. PID controller for the outer loop and PI for the inner loop	40
4.1	Relay feedback tuning of cascade control system	44
4.2	Response in y_1 using symmetric relay with relay height $\square 1$ in both the outer and inner loops	44
4.3	Response in y_2 for symmetric relay in inner loop and PID in outer loop	45
4.4	Regulatory response in y_1 for a disturbance entering in the inner loop using PID controller for outer loop and PI for inner loop	46
4.5	Servo response in y_1 using PID controller for the outer loop and PI for the inner loop	47
4.6	Response in u corresponding to Fig. 4.5 using PID controller for the outer loop and PI for the inner loop	47
4.7	Response in y_2 for symmetric relay in the inner loop and PID in the outer loop in presence of measurement noise in the inner loop	48
4.8	Servo response in y_1 using PID controller for the outer loop and PI for the inner loop with noise in the inner loop	49
4.9	Servo response in y_1 using PID controller for the outer loop and PI for the inner loop with load in the inner loop	49
4.10	Parallel cascade control scheme	50

4.11	Response in y_1 using symmetric relay with relay height ± 1 (parallel cascade control system)	51
4.12	Response in y_2 using symmetric relay with relay height ± 1 (parallel cascade control system)	51
4.13	Servo response in y_1 for unit step change in the set point with PI controller in the inner loop and the outer loop on PID settings	52
4.14	Response in u corresponding to Fig. 4.13. PID controller for the outer loop and PI for the inner loop	53
4.15	Regulatory response in y_1 for a disturbance in the inner loop using PID controller for the outer loop and PI for the inner loop	54
4.16	Response in y_2 using symmetric relay with noise in the inner loop and the outer loop on PID controller	55
4.17	Servo response in y_1 with PI controller in the inner loop and the outer loop on PID settings (with 0.2% noise)	55
4.18	Servo response in y_1 with PI controller in the inner loop and the outer loop on PID settings (in presence of load)	56
5.1	Series cascade control scheme	58
5.2	Comparison of different methods for servo response in y_1 using PI controller for the outer loop and P controller for the inner loop, under perfect parameters ($\alpha_1 = 1.0$ and $\alpha_2 = 1.0$ for series cascade control system)	61
5.3	Comparison of different methods for regulatory response in y_1 for a disturbance in the inner loop ($\alpha_1 = 1.0$ and $\alpha_2 = 1.0$ for series cascade control system)	62
5.4	Comparison of different methods for servo response in y_1 using PI controller for the outer loop and P controller for the inner loop, under perfect parameters (series cascade control system)	63
5.5	Comparison of different methods for regulatory response in y_1 for a disturbance in the inner loop under perfect parameters (series cascade control system)	64
5.6	Servo response in y_1 using PI controller in the outer loop and P controller in the inner loop, with 20% uncertainty in k_{p2} in the process (series cascade control system)	65
5.7	Regulatory response in y_1 for a disturbance in the inner loop with 20% uncertainty in k_{p2} in the process (series cascade control system)	65
5.8	Servo response in y_1 with PI controller for the outer loop and P controller for the inner loop, with 20% uncertainty in D_2 in the process	67
5.9	Regulatory response in y_1 for a disturbance in the inner loop with 20% uncertainty in D_2 in the process	67
5.10	Servo response in y_1 using PI controller for the outer loop and P controller for the inner loop with 20% uncertainty in τ_2 in the process	68

xii List of Figures

5.11	Regulatory response in y_1 for a disturbance in the inner loop with 20% uncertainty in τ_2 in the process	68
5.12	Parallel cascade control scheme	72
5.13	Comparison of servo response for case study 1	74
5.14	Comparison of regulatory response for case study (parallel cascade control system)	74
5.15	Comparison of servo response for case study 2 (parallel cascade control system)	76
5.16	Comparison of regulatory response for case study 2 (parallel cascade control system)	76
5.17	Servo response for $k_{p2} = 2.4$ for case study 1 (parallel cascade control system)	77
5.18	Regulatory response for $k_{p2} = 2.4$ for case study 1 (parallel cascade control system)	77
6.1	Block diagram for saturation relay feedback system	80
6.2	Comparison of closed loop responses for the FOPTD system (with $D/\tau = 10$) using the controllers from various methods	84
6.3	Process output with measurement noise	85
6.4	Comparison of closed loop response for SOPTD system (with $k_p = 1$, $\tau_1 = 2$, $\tau_2 = 4$ and $D = 40$) using the controllers from various methods	86
6.5	Comparison of closed loop servo response using PI controller for case study 3	88
6.6	Comparison of closed loop regulatory response using PI controller for case study 3	88
6.7	Comparison of closed loop servo response using PI controller for case study 4	89
6.8	Comparison of closed loop regulatory response using PI controller for case study 4	89
6.9	Typical closed loop under damped response for a step change in the set point using $k_c = 0.5$	91
6.10	Comparison of closed loop servo response using PI controllers from various methods for case study 4	91
7.1	Block diagram for symmetric relay feedback system	95
7.2a	Process output symmetrical relay response (case study 1)	98
7.2b	Closed loop response comparisons for case study 1	98
7.3a	Process output symmetrical relay response (case study 2)	99
7.3b	Closed loop response comparisons for case study 2 (solid and dot lines coincide)	100
7.4a	Process output symmetrical relay response (case study 3)	101
7.4b	Closed loop response comparisons for case study 3	101

7.5	Comparison of the closed loop servo response of the controllers on the actual system	103
8.1	Block diagram for symmetric relay feedback system	107
8.2a	Relay oscillations for $(D/\tau) = 0.2$ for case study 1	112
8.2b	Comparison of set point responses for case study 1	113
8.3	Relay oscillations for case study 2	114
8.4	Comparison of set point responses for case study 2	114
8.5	Comparison of actual response (deviation variable) with that obtained by the Thyagarajan and Yu method (for non-linear bioreactor problem)	118
8.6	Comparison of the responses of the non-linear bioreactor using the controller settings from different identification methods	119
9.1	Block diagram for symmetric relay feedback system	122
9.2	q versus $\tau\omega_u$ corresponding to Eq.(9.22)	126
9.3	Relay feedback response of case study 1	129
9.4	Open loop response comparison for case study 1	130
9.5	Closed loop response comparison for case study 1	131
9.6	Relay feedback response of case study 2	132
9.7	Open loop response of case study 2	132
9.8	Closed loop response on the actual system for a step change in set point for case study 2 (controller settings as in Tables 9.3 and 9.6)	133
9.9	Relay response of case study 3	134
9.10	Open loop response of case study 3. SC method is for FOPTD model	134
9.11	Closed loop response for unit step change in set point for case study 3	135
9.12	Relay feedback response of bioreactor system	137
9.13	Open loop response of a bioreactor system (deviation from initial steady-state value is plotted for the actual system)	138
9.14	Closed loop response for unit step change in set point for a bioreactor system	138
9.15	Nyquist plot of the transfer function	142
10.1	Block diagram for asymmetric relay feedback system	144
10.2a	Output response for case study 1	147
10.2b	Step response for case study 1	148
10.3	Step response for case study 2	148
10.4	Step response for case study 3	149

xiv List of Figures

10.5	Closed loop response for case study 4	150
11.1	Block diagram for asymmetric relay feedback system	154
11.2	Process input-output relay response (case study 1)	158
11.3	Controllers performance evaluated on the actual system (case study 1)	158
11.4	Controllers performance evaluated on the actual system (case study 1, effect of load on model identification)	159
11.5	Process output relay response (case study 2)	160
11.6	Controllers performance evaluated on the actual system (case study 2)	160
11.7	Process output relay response (case study 3)	161
11.8	Controllers performance evaluated on actual system (case study 3)	161
11.9	Process asymmetric relay response of the CSTR	163
11.10	Closed loop response of actual system for step point in the set point	163
12.1	Decentralized relay feedback	167
12.2a	Comparison of closed loop performance for step change in Y_1	171
12.2b	Comparison of closed loop performance for step change in Y_2	171
13.1	Comparison of performance of actual and identified models for example 1	181
13.2	Comparison of performance of actual and identified models for example 2	182
14.1	Control performance of four-tank system for unit step change in y_1	188
14.2	Control performance of four-tank system for unit step change in y_2	189
14.3	Control performance of four-tank system for unit step change in V_1	189
14.4	Control performance of four-tank system for unit step change in V_2	190
15.1	Convergence of PI controller parameters (1 and 2 represent the controllers C11 and C22, respectively)	200
15.2	Closed loop system response of decentralized controllers for a step change in set point Y_1 (the upper curves show the response and the lower curves show the interaction)	201
15.3	Closed loop system response of decentralized controllers for a step change in set point Y_2 (the upper curves show the response and the lower curves show the interaction)	201
15.4	Closed loop system response of centralized controllers for a step change in set point Y_1 (the upper curves show the response and the lower curves show the interaction)	202
15.5	Closed loop system response of centralized controllers for a step change in set point Y_2 (the upper curves show the response and the lower curves show the interaction)	203

Figures in Appendices

A.1	Simulink block diagram for inner loop tuning for case study 1	215
A.2	Simulink block diagram for outer loop tuning for case study 1	215

A.3	Simulink block diagram for measurement noise in inner loop for case study 1	216
A.4	Simulink block diagram for parallel cascade control system	216
A.5	Simulink block diagram for inner loop and outer loop tuning for case study 1	216
A.6	Simulink block diagram for improved relay tuning for case study 1	217
A.7	Simulink block diagram for identification for the non-linear continuous bioreactor system	217
A.8	Simulink block diagram for non-linear continuous bioreactor system	218
A.9	Simulink block diagram for control of the non-linear CSTR process	218
A.10	Simulink block diagram for non-linear CSTR system	219
C.1	$y^*(t)$ versus a_{1m} corresponding to Eq.(C.24)	233

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-05871-2 - Relay Autotuning for Identification and Control
M. Chidambaram and Vivek Sathe
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

List of Tables

2.1	Comparison of estimated k_u and identified FOPTD model parameters for the present method (when $\omega t^* = \pi/2$) and Li et al. (1991) method	19
2.2a	Effect of including higher order harmonics on k_u (Eqs 2.19 and 2.22)	20
2.2b	Details of calculations for Table 2.2a	20
2.2c	Effect of including higher order harmonics on k_u (use of Eqs 2.33 and 2.22)	20
2.2d	Details of calculations for Table 2.2c	20
2.3	Closed loop performance comparisons of different methods of identification with that of the actual system	27
2.4	PID controller settings and ISE values for case study 4 (unstable system)	29
3.1	Controller settings comparison for $(D/\tau)_{\text{inner loop}} = 4.0$, $(D/\tau)_{\text{outer loop}} = 4.0$	34
3.2	Performance comparison of proposed methods and the Hang et al. method for $(D/\tau)_{\text{inner loop}} = 4.0$, $(D/\tau)_{\text{outer loop}} = 4.0$	35
3.3	Effect of change in relay height on PI settings using asymmetric relay testing	36
3.4	Controller settings comparison for the second example	37
3.5	Controller settings comparison using the Ziegler–Nichols settings for parallel cascade control system	41
3.6	Performance comparison of proposed methods and conventional method for $(D/\tau)_{\text{inner loop}} = 4.0$, $(D/\tau)_{\text{outer loop}} = 6.0$	41
3.7	Effect of change in relay height using asymmetric relay testing on PI settings for parallel cascade control system	42
4.1	Calculation details for proposed method (series cascade control system)	45
4.2	Controller settings comparison using the Ziegler–Nichols settings (series cascade control systems)	46
4.3	Controller settings for simultaneous relay series cascade control system	48
4.4	Controller settings comparison using Z–N settings (for parallel cascade control systems)	52

xviii List of Tables

4.5	Performance comparison for parallel cascade control systems	53
4.6	Controller design comparison for simultaneous relay for parallel cascade control system	54
5.1	P/PI Controller settings	62
5.2	Performance comparison of different methods under perfect parameters	62
5.3	Controller settings when $\alpha_1 = 0.9$ and $\alpha_2 = 0.4 \alpha_1$ (series cascade control system)	63
5.4	Performance comparison of different methods under perfect parameters	64
5.5a	Performance comparison of the controlled system under uncertainty in k_{p2} 20% high ($k_{p2} = 2.4$ in the process and controller designed for $k_{p2} = 2$)	66
5.5b	Performance comparison of the controlled system under uncertainty in D_2 20% high ($D_2 = 2.4$ in the process and controller designed for $D_2 = 2$)	66
5.5c	Performance comparison of the controlled system under uncertainty in τ_2 20% high ($\tau_2 = 24$ in the process and controller designed for $\tau_2 = 20$)	66
5.6a	Performance comparison of the controlled system under uncertainty in k_{p1} 20% higher in the process ($k_{p1} = 1.2$, $\alpha_1 = 0.9$ and $\alpha_2 = 0.4 \alpha_1$)	69
5.6b	Performance comparison of the controlled system under uncertainty in D_1 20% higher in the process ($D_1 = 12$, $\alpha_1 = 0.9$ and $\alpha_2 = 0.4 \alpha_1$)	69
5.6c	Performance comparison of the controlled system under uncertainty in τ_1 20% higher in the process ($\tau_1 = 120$, $\alpha_1 = 0.9$ and $\alpha_2 = 0.4 \alpha_1$)	69
5.7	Gain margin (A_m) and phase margin (ϕ_m) with inner loop P controller and outer loop PI controller	69
5.8	Controller settings	73
5.9	Performance comparison of the controlled system under perfect parameters	75
5.10	Performance comparison of the controlled system under uncertainty in k_{p2} (parallel cascade control system)	75
6.1	Theoretical value and estimations of the ultimate gain by relay tests for the FOPTD systems	83
6.2	PI controller settings for FOPTD system with $k_p = 1$, $\tau = 1$ and $D = 10$	84
6.3	Identified k_u and p_u while using noise	85
6.4	PI controller settings for SOPTD system with $k_p = 1$, $\tau_1 = 2$, $\tau_2 = 4$ and $D = 40$	86
6.5	PI controller settings using IMC method for case studies 3 and 4	87
6.6	Comparison of identified model parameters, the designed PI controller parameters using IMC method and IAE values of the closed loop system for case study 4	90
7.1	Intermediate values for the examples considered	97
7.2	Controller parameters based on identified model and actual process parameters	97

7.3	Tuning formulae used for case study 3 (Padmasree et al., 2004)	100
7.4	Identified parameters of FOPTD model for case study 4	103
8.1	Effect of including higher order harmonics on k_u	109
8.2	Details of calculations for Table 8.1	110
8.3	Model parameters identified for unstable FOPTD systems	112
8.4	Comparison of identified model parameters and controller parameters for case study 1	112
8.5	Effect of measurement noise for case study 2. $G(s) = \exp(-0.5s)/((0.5s+1)(2s-1))$	115
8.6	Effect of load on identification for case studies 1 and 2	116
8.7	PID settings for the identified unstable FOPTD model parameters of bioreactor	118
9.1	Effect of including higher order harmonics on k_u	124
9.2	Details of calculations for Table 9.1	124
9.3	Identified model parameters and controller settings	129
9.4	Effect of measurement noise and load on model identification using the proposed method	136
9.5	Controller performance based on non-linear identified models	137
9.6	Identified and controller parameters by the proposed methods for case study 2	141
10.1	Intermediate values for the examples considered	149
11.1	Intermediate values for the case studies considered	157
11.2	Controller parameters based on identified model and actual process parameters	157
11.3	Effect of noise and load disturbance on identification for case study 1	158
11.4	Controller parameters and ISE and IAE values for the CSTR control problem	164
12.1	ISE value comparison for actual and identified models for the above examples	172
13.1	Closed loop ISE values comparison between the identified model and actual system for example 1	179
13.2	Closed loop ISE values comparison for the actual and identified models for example 2	180
14.1	ISE values of servo and regulatory problem for four-tank liquid level system	191
14.2	ISE values for robustness analysis (perturbation in all the steady-state gains)	191
14.3a	ISE values of servo problem for the examples 2 and 3	193
14.3b	ISE values of regulatory problem for the examples 2 and 3	194
15.1	Optimal PI controller parameters for decentralized control system	198
15.2	Optimal PI controller parameters for centralized control system	203

xx List of Tables

15.3 Optimal PI controller parameters for example 2 using the improved GA and the Vlachos et al. method 205

Tables in Appendices

C.1 Estimated parameters of the integrating FOPTD model by the proposed methods 236
C.2 Effect of including higher order harmonics on k_u 236
C.3 Details of calculations for Table C.2 237

Acknowledgements

The authors owe a debt of gratitude to many friends, colleagues and students who helped us write this book. First of all, we gratefully acknowledge the contributions made by the graduate students – K. Sinivasan, V. Ramakrishnan, D.S. Ganesh, E. Sivakumar, D.S. Reddy, M.V. Sadasivarao – as part of their project works. We are also thankful for the support received from the Department of Chemical Engineering and the Continuing Education Centre, Indian Institute of Technology, Madras. The second author expresses his sincere appreciation to his son C. Srihari Prasath for his patience and understanding while writing the manuscript.

It would also be appropriate to express our regards to R. Mohan of Sigma Publishing who drew the figures of the book. We would be remiss if we did not thank Cambridge University Press and our editors involved in the process for their efficient professionalism and successfully bringing out the book.

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-05871-2 - Relay Autotuning for Identification and Control
M. Chidambaram and Vivek Sathe
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

Preface

To design proportional plus integral (PI)/PID controllers, the ultimate values of the controller gain (k_u) and frequency of oscillation (ω_u) should be known. The conventional Ziegler and Nichols continuous cycling method requires a large number of experiments to calculate these values. Åström and Hägglund (1984) suggested the use of ideal relay to generate closed loop oscillations. The ultimate gain and ultimate frequency can be found in a single-shot experiment. However, the method is still approximate because of the use of the principal harmonics approximation. Li et al. (1991) reported that for an open loop, stable first order plus time delay (FOPTD) system, an error of -18% to 27% is obtained in the calculation of k_u . Yu (1999) suggested a saturation feedback test to get better results for the ultimate gain and frequency. However, Yu (1999) did not report any result for large values of delay-to-time constant ratio.

An SOPTD model can incorporate higher order process dynamics better than an FOPTD model. The controller designed on the basis of the SOPTD model gives a better closed loop response than the one designed on an FOPTD model. It is better to have an SOPTD model with equal time constants since only three parameters are to be identified. Li et al. (1991) showed that the conventional analysis of the relay autotune method for an SOPTD model with equal time constants gives -11% to 27% error in the calculation of k_u . In identifying the transfer function models for unstable systems, it is necessary to estimate 5 parameters to describe such systems. There is no method available to estimate these parameters from a single relay test. Cascade control system is a multi-loop control scheme commonly used in the chemical process industries. It should be noted that there are two ways of relay tuning cascade control systems, namely, simultaneous tuning and sequential tuning procedures. However, there is a need to consider higher order harmonics in the analysis of relay tuning of such systems to obtain improved controllers settings.

The authors have organized the book in the following manner:

Chapter 1 gives an overview of the reported works on relay tuning of single loop control system, cascade controllers, estimation of model parameters and design of PI/PID controllers. Chapter 2 gives a method of considering higher order harmonics in the analysis of symmetric relay tuning method and analytical solutions for model parameters using a single asymmetrical relay test.

Chapter 3 gives a method of considering higher order harmonics in the analysis of series cascade control systems by the sequential tuning method. The method is also extended to

parallel cascade control systems. Chapter 4 analyzes the simultaneous relay tuning of series and parallel cascade control systems.

Chapter 5 gives a simple method to design PID controllers for a series cascade control system. FOPTD models are assumed for both the inner and outer loop sub-systems. The method is based on matching the coefficients of the corresponding powers of s and s^2 in the numerator to α_1 and α_2 times that in the denominator of the closed loop transfer function model for a servo problem. The method is first applied to design a proportional (P) controller for the inner loop and then to design a proportional plus integral (PI) controller for the outer loop. The performance of the proposed controllers is evaluated for FOPTD models of the inner loop and the outer loop process transfer functions. This method is also extended to parallel cascade control systems.

Chapter 6 proposes two methods to improve the accuracy of the saturation relay method for FOPTD systems with a large ratio of time delay to time constant. Chapter 7 proposes a method to identify all the three parameters of a first order plus time delay (FOPTD) model using a single symmetrical relay test.

Chapter 8 gives a method to identify all the three parameters of an unstable FOPTD system using a single symmetric relay feedback test. It also proposes a method by incorporating higher order harmonics to explain the error in the calculation of k_u . Another method is proposed to estimate all the parameters of an unstable FOPTD system.

In Chapter 9, using a single symmetric relay feedback test, a method is proposed to identify all the three parameters of a stable second order plus time delay (SOPTD) model with equal time constants $[k_p \exp(-Ds)/(\tau s+1)^2]$. It provides a method to improve the accuracy in the k_u calculation by incorporating the higher order harmonics.

In Chapter 10, using a single asymmetric relay feedback test, a method is proposed to identify all the four parameters of a stable second order plus time delay (SOPTD) model $k_p \exp(-Ds)/[(\tau_1 s+1)(\tau_2 s+1)]$. In Chapter 11, a method is proposed to identify all the 5 parameters of an SOPTD system with a zero, $G(s) = k_p (\tau_1 s+1) \exp(-Ds)/[(\tau_2 s+1)(\tau_3 s-1)]$, using an asymmetric relay test.

In Chapter 12, the asymmetrical relay tuning method is extended to multivariable FOPTD systems. In Chapter 13, the asymmetrical relay tuning method is extended to multivariable SOPTD systems. Chapter 14 proposes a simple method of designing centralized multivariable PID controllers for multivariable systems. In Chapter 15, the use of detuned Ziegler–Nichols PID settings as initial guess values is suggested for the design of multivariable controllers by an optimization method using the genetic algorithm to reduce the number of iterations significantly. Chapter 16 summarizes the results and conclusions of the work.

There are three appendices.

Appendix A gives the *Simulink* block diagrams used in the present work.

Appendix B gives the *Matlab* programs used in Chapter 3, Chapter 5 and Chapter 6.

Appendix C gives an improved relay tuning method for integrating plus FOPTD systems.