OPEN ACCESS

Thermodynamic structure of gravitational field equations from near-horizon symmetries

To cite this article: Dawood Kothawala 2010 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 229 012044

View the <u>article online</u> for updates and enhancements.

Related content

- Thermodynamic structure of gravitational field equations Dawood Kothawala
- What can Classical Gravity tell us about Quantum Structure of Spacetime? T Padmanabhan
- Thermodynamical aspects of gravity: new insights T Padmanabhan





doi:10.1088/1742-6596/229/1/012044

Thermodynamic structure of gravitational field equations from near-horizon symmetries

Dawood Kothawala ¹

Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Post Bag 04, Ganeshkhind, Pune 411007, India

E-mail: dawood@iucaa.ernet.in

Abstract. There exists a strong mathematical resemblance between the on-horizon structure of the gravitational field equations and the first law of thermodynamics. In this talk, we discuss how such a structure arises and show that the field equations near any static horizon can be written as: TdS-dE=PdV. Moreover, the result extends beyond Einstein theory and holds for Lanczos-Lovelock Lagrangians as well. The entropy S we obtain is precisely the Noether charge entropy of Wald, and E provides a natural generalization of quasi-local energy of the horizon. We comment on several implications of this result, particularly the notion of gravitational entropy [treated as the Noether charge of diffeomorphism invariance] associated with horizons and it's role in gravitational dynamics arising out of virtual displacements of the horizon.

1. Introduction

There is an intriguing analogy between the gravitational dynamics of horizons and thermodynamics, which is not yet understood at a deeper level. It was first noted by Padmanabhan [1] that gravitational field equations near a static spherically symmetric horizon has a intriguing structure; the differential form of this structure can be interpreted as virtual displacement of the horizon, and is, in fact, equivalent to the first law fo thermodynamics: $TdS = dE + P_{\perp}dV$. In this talk, we describe how such a result arises out of near-horizon symmetries of the gravitational field tensor and holds not only for Einstein theory, but arbitrary Lanczos-Lovelock theory as well. In particular, our discussion brings out the key ingredients responsible for the thermodynamic structure of field equations as well as the generality of the result. Moreover, one can identify the expression for horizon entropy with the Noether charge entropy defined by Wald. Therefore, the question of how "gravity knows about thermodynamics at all", finds a natural explanation in the analysis we present. ²

Notation: The metric signature is (-,+,+,+); latin indices go from 0 to 3, and greek indices from 1 to 3. Capitalized latin indices go over the (D-2) transverse coordinates.

1

2. Gravitational field equations as a thermodynamic identity

2.1. Background

The coordinate system best suited for our discussion is given by the metric:

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + dn^2 + \sigma_{AB} dy^A dy^B$$
(1)

- ¹ Work done in collaboration with T. Padmanabhan, IUCAA, India.
- ² For further details and an exhaustive list of references, see [2].

where $\sigma_{AB}(n, y^A)$ is the transverse metric, and the Killing horizon, generated by the timelike Killing vector field $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \boldsymbol{\partial}_t$, is approached as $N^2 \to 0$. Demanding the finiteness of curvature invariants on the horizon leads to the following Taylor series expansion for the lapse N(n, x, y) and the transverse metric σ_{AB} [3]:

$$N(n,y) = \kappa n \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} R_{\perp}(y; n=0) n^2 + O(n^3) \right]$$
 (2)

$$\sigma_{AB} = [\sigma_H(y)]_{AB} + \frac{1}{2} [\sigma_2(y)]_{AB} n^2 + \mathcal{O}(n^3)$$
 (3)

where we have collectively called the transverse coordinates as y, and R_{\perp} is the Ricci scalar corresponding to y = constant surface. We shall be interested in a small region in the neighbourhood of the spacelike (D-2)-surface; more precisely, we shall assume $n \ll R_{\perp}^{-1/2}$, and would be interested in the $\kappa \to \infty$. That is, we shall require the length scale set by κ to be the smallest of all length scales. The t = constant part of the metric is written by employing Gaussian normal coordinates for the spatial part of the metric spanned by (n, y^A) , n being the normal distance to the horizon. Consider the (y = constant) null vectors given by $l_i = (-1, +N^{-1}, 0, 0)$ and $k_i = (-1, -N^{-1}, 0, 0)$. In the limit we are interested in, it is easy to check that these vectors satisfy the geodesic equation in affinely parametrized form, that is; $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{l}}\boldsymbol{l}=0=\nabla_{\boldsymbol{k}}\boldsymbol{k}^3$. The affine parameter λ , defined by $\boldsymbol{l}\cdot\nabla\lambda=1$ can be found by using the above form of N(n,y); to the leading order, we find that, $\lambda \sim \lambda_H + (1/2)\kappa n^2$ where $\lambda = \lambda_H$ is the location of the horizon. Note that, $N^2 l \to \xi|_H$, which implies, $2\kappa (\lambda - \lambda_H) l \to \xi|_H$. In subsequent analysis, the differentials of various geometric quantities (such as entropy) defined on the horizon, which are directly involved in the statement of the first law of thermodynamics, are to be interpreted as variations with respect to the affine parameter along the outgoing null geodesics, i.e., λ . This, of course, is the most natural variation that can be chosen on a null surface. All throughout, we shall take the on the horizon limit by considering a foliation defined by n = constant surfaces and then taking the limit $n \to 0$.

2.2. Thermodynamic structure of Lanczos-Lovelock tensor

A natural generalization of the above result would be to look at Lanczos-Lovelock Lagrangians, which are the unique generalizations of Einstein tensor to higher dimensions, and yield equations of motion which are well behaved. We shall simply outline the derivation here; details can be found in [2].

The Lanczos-Lovelock (LL) Lagrangians are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{m}^{(D)} = \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \frac{1}{2^{m}}\right) \delta_{c_{1}d_{1}...c_{m}d_{m}}^{a_{1}b_{1}...a_{m}b_{m}} \mathbf{R}_{a_{1}b_{1}}^{c_{1}d_{1}} \cdots \mathbf{R}_{a_{m}b_{m}}^{c_{m}d_{m}}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

The corresponding equations of motion are $2E^i_j=T^i_j$, where

$$E_{j(m)}^{i} = \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \frac{m}{2^{m}}\right) \delta_{jd_{1}...c_{m}d_{m}}^{a_{1}b_{1}...a_{m}b_{m}} \mathbf{R}_{a_{1}b_{1}}^{id_{1}} \cdots \mathbf{R}_{a_{m}b_{m}}^{c_{m}d_{m}} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{j}^{i} \mathcal{L}_{m}$$
 (5)

where m is an integer, and Einstein theory corresponds to m=1. These Lagrangians have various special properties which have been discussed extensively in the literature. In particular, these Lagrangians satisfy: $\nabla_a \left(\partial \mathcal{L} / \partial R_{abcd} \right) = 0$.

³ Explicit calculation shows that $\nabla_l l$ has only "y"-components, given by: $-(2\kappa^{-2}) \left[\sigma^{AB} \partial_B R_\perp\right]_{n=0} \partial_A + O(n^2)$, which go to zero in the limit $\partial R_\perp/\kappa^2 \to 0$, which is the limit we are interested in.

Journal of Physics: Conference Series 229 (2010) 012044

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/229/1/012044

A detailed analysis using Gauss-Codazzi decomposition and Combinatorics leads to

$$E_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{a}}|_{H} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{\perp} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & E_{\perp} & 0\\ \hline 0 & 0 & E_{\parallel_{[(D-2)\times(D-2)]}} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (6)

which generalizes the result of Einstein theory. The object of interest, viz. E_{\perp} , turns out to be

$$E_{\perp} = \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \frac{m}{2^m}\right) \sigma^{CA} \, \mathcal{E}_A^B \, \left[\sigma_2\right]_{CB}}_{CB} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \mathcal{L}_m^{(D-2)}$$

$$(7)$$

Once again, some simple algebraic manipulations similar to those done in the Einstein case puts this in the form (valid on the horizon):

$$2E_{\perp} \sqrt{\sigma} \,\delta\lambda = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{8} \frac{m}{2^{m-1}}\right) \mathcal{E}^{BC} \,\delta_{\lambda} \sigma_{BC} \,\sqrt{\sigma}}_{\delta_{\lambda} S} \quad - \underbrace{\mathcal{L}_{m}^{(D-2)} \sqrt{\sigma} \,\delta\lambda}_{\delta_{\lambda} E} \tag{8}$$

where

$$S = 4\pi m \int d\Sigma \mathcal{L}_{m-1}^{(D-2)} \qquad \mapsto \frac{1}{4} \int d\Sigma$$
 (9)

$$E = \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} \delta \lambda \int d\Sigma \, \mathcal{L}_{m}^{(D-2)} \qquad \mapsto \int_{\lambda} \frac{1}{16\pi} \left[\int_{y} R_{\parallel} \sqrt{\sigma} \, d^{2}y \right] \, \delta \lambda \tag{10}$$

where the arrows give corresponding expressions for D=4 Einstein case. The thing to note is that both terms on RHS are expressible as "variations" of quantities locally defined on the horizon! In fact, S is precisely the Noether charge entropy as defined by Wald [4]. The quantity E as defined above gives an expression for energy which matches with known expressions for specific cases [for example, it gives $(1/2)r_H$ for spherically symmetric solutions in D=4 Einstein theory, and reproduces the correct mass for spherically symmetric black hole solutions in Lovelock theory as calculated by others]. In fact, this expression for energy deserves a closer look, since it provides a very natural generalization of quasilocal energy for aspheric black holes in Einstein as well as Lovelock gravity.

3. Comments and Discussion

To put the result in appropriate context [5], begin by noting that what we have shown is that the following relations

$$\underbrace{E(\widehat{m{n}},\widehat{m{n}}) = -E(m{u},m{u})}_{near-horizon \ symmetry} \ \& \ \underbrace{E(m{u},m{u}) = (1/2) \ T(m{u},m{u})}_{field \ eq}$$

have a thermodynamic structure. Democracy of all observers then implies that $\mathbf{E} = (1/2)\mathbf{T}$ are thermodynamic:

$$E(u, u) = \frac{1}{2} T(u, u) \xrightarrow{all \ u} E = \frac{1}{2} T$$

Further, note that, in the limit $N \to 0$, we have $N^2 \mathbf{l} \to \boldsymbol{\xi}$. Using this and $\mathbf{u} = \boldsymbol{\xi}/N$, we see that $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) \to \mathbf{T}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{l})$, which is precisely the flow of energy when the horizon undergoes a virtual displacement along the outgoing null geodesics.

⁴ Incidentally, there is another way to look at this which is worth mentioning: the object $g_{ab}^{\perp} = 2\xi_{(a}l_{b)}$ is the transverse part of the induced metric on the horizon, and hence $T_{ab}\xi^a l^b = (1/2) \operatorname{tr}_2[T_{ab}]$, where tr_2 is the trace with respect to g_{ab}^{\perp} .

Journal of Physics: Conference Series 229 (2010) 012044

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/229/1/012044

Finally, let us briefly comment on Lagrangians which depend on metric and Riemann tensor (but not it's derivatives); i.e., $L = L[g_{ab}, R_{abcd}]$. Equations of motion are given by:

$$P_a^{cde}R_{bcde} - 2\nabla^c\nabla^d P_{acdb} - \frac{1}{2}Lg_{ab} = 8\pi T_{ab}$$
(11)

where P_{abcd} is defined as $\partial L/\partial R_{abcd}$, and inherit all the symmetries of the Riemann tensor. It is obvious that analyzing the near-horizon symmetries is not going to be easy, particularly due to the presence of the middle term on LHS; in fact, no such symmetries might exist at all for general Lagrangians without imposing additional restrictions. One might concentrate [taking hint from the Lovelock case] on $T_{ab}\xi^a l^b$ without worrying about the symmetries of the field tensor, but then one risks loosing some crucial link between such symmetries and black hole entropy. Indeed, that such a link exists is most clearly evident from the work of Carlip [6], and it would in fact be worthwhile to connect Carlip's analysis with our result. Nonetheless, we observe that the thermodynamic structure of field equations are crucially linked to the near-horizon symmetries and select out a particular class of Lagrangians, the Lanczos-Lovelock Lagrangians. This seems reasonable since it is only for this class of Lagrangians that one obtains second order equations of motion and the initial value problem is well defined. Since we do not have any criterion other than symmetry principles to analyze notions such as gravitational entropy in arbitrary theories of gravity, such a restriction to Lagrangians is important since it gives us a handle on the sort of low-energy effective actions we may expect from a full theory of quantum gravity. It must be remembered that two apparently different types of symmetries [definitely connected in some yet unknown manner] are evident in our result: the appearance of Wald entropy which arises as a Noether charge of diffeomorphism invariance (restricted to diffeomorphisms generating isometries) and the near-horizon symmetry of the field tensor. Our result above already clearly provides a direct connection of entropy so obtained with gravitational dynamics.

4. Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the organizers of Spanish Relativity Meeting ERE-2009, for giving me an opportunity to present this work at the stimulating conference in Bilbao. The research work is supported by a Fellowship from the Council of Scientific & Industrial Research (CSIR), India.

References

- [1] Padmanabhan T 2002 Classical and Quantum Thermodynamics of horizons in spherically symmetric spacetimes Class. Quantum Grav. 19 5387
 - Padmanabhan T 2005 Gravity and the Thermodynamics of Horizons Phys. Rept. 406 49
- [2] Kothawala D and Padmanabhan T 2009 Thermodynamic structure of Lanczos-Lovelock field equations from near-horizon symmetries Phys. Rev. D 79 104020
- [3] Medved A J M, Martin D and Visser M 2004 Dirty black holes: Spacetime geometry and near-horizon symmetries Class. Quantum Grav. 21 3111
 - Medved A J M, Martin D and Visser M 2004 Dirty black holes: Symmetries at stationary non-static horizons $Phys.\ Rev.\ D$ 70 024009
- [4] Wald R M 1993 Black Hole Entropy is Noether Charge Phys.Rev. D 48 3427
 Iyer V and Wald R M 1994 Some Properties of Noether Charge and a Proposal for Dynamical Black Hole Entropy Phys.Rev. D 50 846
- [5] For a recent review of these ideas, see: Padmanabhan T 2009 Thermodynamical Aspects of Gravity: New insights Preprint arXiv:0911.5004v1
- [6] Carlip S 1999 Entropy from Conformal Field Theory at Killing Horizons Class. Quantum Grav. 16 3327 Carlip S 2000 Black Hole Entropy from Horizon Conformal Field Theory Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 88 10