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## A B S T R A C T

Motivated by the so-called $P_{2}$-property in the semidefinite linear complementarity problems, in this article, we introduce the concept of $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property for a linear transformation on the space of real $n \times n$ symmetric matrices. While these two properties turn out to be different, we show that they are equivalent for the Lyapunov transformation $L_{A}$, double-sided multiplicative transformation $M_{A}$ and a particular class of Stein transformations. We also show that $P_{2}^{\prime}$ implies the SSM and $Q$-properties.
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## 1. Introduction

Given a linear transformation $L: S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$ and a matrix $Q \in S^{n}$, the semidefinite linear complementarity problem, $\operatorname{SDLCP}(L, Q)$, is the problem of finding a matrix $X \in S^{n}$ such that

$$
X \in S_{+}^{n}, \quad Y:=L(X)+Q \in S_{+}^{n}, \quad \text { and } \quad\langle X, Y\rangle=0,
$$

where $S^{n}$ denotes the space of all real $n \times n$ symmetric matrices, $S_{+}^{n}$ denotes the set of all positive semidefinite matrices in $S^{n}$ and $\langle X, Y\rangle$ denotes the trace of the (matrix) product $X Y$. If such an $X$ exists, then we call $X$ to be the solution of $\operatorname{SDLCP}(L, Q)$. In the last decade significant work done in the area of semidefinite linear complementarity problem starting from Kojima et al. [16] in 1997 and Gowda

[^0]with others, see the articles [ $9,8,12,13,7]$. SDLCP is also a special case of semidefinite programming, see Shida et al. [19]. Then in 2000, Gowda and Song [9] regarded it as a natural generalization of linear complementarity problem (LCP)[5]. The problem SDLCP has been studied extensively for the following transformations. Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$,
(i) The Lyapunov transformation $L_{A}: X \in S^{n} \longmapsto A X+X A^{T}$,
(ii) The Stein transformation $S_{A}: X \in S^{n} \longmapsto X-A X A^{T}$,
(iii) The Multiplicative transformation $R_{A}: X \in S^{n} \longmapsto A X A^{T}$.

In this article we introduce the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property for a linear transformation. Let $L: S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$ be a linear transformation. We say $L$ has the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property, if

$$
\left[0 \preceq X \in S^{n}, X L(X) X \preceq 0\right] \Rightarrow X=0
$$

$P_{2}^{\prime}$-property was motivated by the strict semimonotone property in the linear complementarity problems. In LCP if a matrix $A$ has the strict semimonotone property, then it is equivalent to saying that $L C P(A, q)$ has unique solution whenever $q \geqslant 0$. We observe a similar result (Remark 2.3) for the $P_{2}^{\prime}-$ property in the SDLCP setting. Also we establish the equivalence of the $P_{2}$ and $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property for the Lyapunov transformation $L_{A}$, the multiplicative transformation $M_{A}$ and for a particular class of Stein transformations $S_{A}$. Further, we study the relationship of $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property, $Q$-property and $P$-property.

### 1.1. Preliminaries

We use $S_{+}^{n}\left(S_{-}^{n}\right)$ to denote the set of all positive (negative) semidefinite matrices in $S^{n}$. The trace of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the sum of its diagonal entries and it is denoted by $\operatorname{tr}(A)$. By $A \succeq 0(A \preceq 0)$, we mean $A$ is positive (negative) semidefinite. By the symbol $\|A\|$ we denote the Frobenius norm of $A$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. The following results are well known, see [6,14].
(i) $\operatorname{tr}(A)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{T}\right)$;
(ii) $\operatorname{tr}(A B)=\operatorname{tr}(B A)$;
(iii) If $A \succeq 0$, then $U A U^{T} \succeq 0$ for any orthogonal matrix $U$;
(iv) If $A$ and $B$ are two commuting symmetric matrices, then there exists an orthogonal matrix $U$ such that $A=U D U^{T}$ and $B=U E U^{T}$;
(v) If $0 \preceq X$ and $0 \preceq Y$ with $\operatorname{tr}(X Y)=0$, then $X Y=0$.

In the following, we recall some definitions in the setting of SDLCP.
Definition 1.1. For a linear transformation $L: S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$, we say that $L$ has the
(i) $Q$-property if $\operatorname{SDLCP}(L, Q)$ has a solution for all $Q \in S^{n}$;
(ii) $P$-property if
[ $X$ and $L(X)$ commute, and $X L(X) \preceq 0] \Rightarrow X=0$;
(iii) Globally Uniquely Solvable (GUS) property, if for all $Q \in S^{n}, \operatorname{SDLCP}(L, Q)$ has a unique solution;
(iv) Strong-monotonicity property if $\operatorname{tr}(X L(X))>0$ for any nonzero $X \in S^{n}$;
(v) $R_{0}$-property if $\operatorname{SDLCP}(L, 0)$ has a unique solution;
(vi) $P_{2}$-property (also called ultra $P$-property in [13]) if

$$
[X \succeq 0, Y \succeq 0,(X-Y) L(X-Y)(X+Y) \preceq 0] \Rightarrow X=Y
$$

### 1.1.1. Lipschitzian property

The set of all solutions to the problem $\operatorname{SDLCP}(L, Q)$ is denoted by $\operatorname{SOL}(L, Q)$. The multivalued map $\phi_{L}: S^{n} \rightarrow S_{+}^{n}$ defined by $\phi_{L}(Q):=S O L(L, Q)$ is called the solution map.

Definition 1.2. Let $L: S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$. We say that $\phi_{L}$ is Lipschitzian, if there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{L}(Q) \subseteq \phi_{L}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)+C\left\|Q-Q^{\prime}\right\| B \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $Q, Q^{\prime} \in S^{n}$ satisfying $\phi_{L}(Q) \neq \emptyset$ and $\phi_{L}\left(Q^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Here $B$ is the closed unit ball in $S^{n}$. We say, $L$ is a Lipschitzian map if $\phi_{L}$ has the Lipschitzian property.

### 1.1.2. The linear transformation $R_{A}$

Apart from the linear transformations mentioned in the beginning, we have one more linear transformation $R_{A}$, for which one can find a detailed discussion in the article by Gowda and Song [11]. For a given $X \in S^{n}$, let $\operatorname{diag}(X)$ denote the $n$ dimensional(column) vector formed by the diagonal entries of $X$. Also, for a vector $q \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we call by $\hat{q}$ or $\operatorname{Diag}(q)$ the diagonal matrix whose diagonal vector is $q$. And for a given $X \in S^{n}$, with $X=\left(x_{i j}\right)$, we say $X_{0}:=\left(x_{i j}\left(1-\delta_{i j}\right)\right)$, where $\delta_{i j}$ is one if $i=j$ and zero otherwise. The problem $\operatorname{SDLCP}\left(R_{A}, \hat{q}\right)$ is called the semidefinite relaxation of the problem $\operatorname{LCP}(A, q)$. Now, the linear transformation $R_{A}: S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$ is defined by

$$
R_{A}(X):=\operatorname{Diag}(\operatorname{Adiag}(X))+X_{0} .
$$

Now we will recall some results, that are required for this paper.
Theorem 1.1 [15]. Let $L: S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$ be a linear transformation. If the problem $\operatorname{SDLCP}(L, 0)$ and $\operatorname{SDLCP}(L, I)$ have unique solutions, then L has the Q-property. Here, I denotes the identity matrix of order $n$.

Lemma 1.2 [2]. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then $A$ is positive definite if and only if every diagonal entry of $U A U^{T}$ is positive, for any orthogonal matrix $U$.

## 2. The $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property

### 2.1. Strict semimonotone property and the P-property in LCP

A matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is called a $Z$-matrix if its off-diagonal entries are non-positive. We say $A$ has the strict semimonotone property (SSM-property) if $x \geqslant 0$ and $x_{i}(A x)_{i} \leqslant 0$ for all $i \Rightarrow x=0$. In other words, restricted to $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}, A$ does not reverse the sign of (nonnegative) vector. If $A$ has SSM-property then $A \in Q$. In fact $A$ is completely $Q$, that is, $A$ and all its principal submatrices are in $Q$, for details see [5].

We say $A$ has the $P$-property, if for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $x_{i}(A x)_{i} \leqslant 0$ for all $i \Rightarrow x=0$. In case of a $Z$-matrix, SSM-property and $P$-property are equivalent.

Theorem 2.1. Let $A$ be a Z-matrix. Then the following are equivalent.
(i) A has the P-property.
(ii) A has the SSM-property.
(iii) $x \geqslant 0$ and $x_{i}(A x)_{i} x_{i} \leqslant 0$ for all $i \Rightarrow x=0$.

Proof. The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) are obvious. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is well known, see the monograph by Cottle et al. [5]. For (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), we know SSM-property implies the Q-property and Q-property along with Z-property implies the $P$-property, refer to Berman and Plemons [3].

### 2.2. Strict semimonotone property and the P-property in SDLCP

In case of the SDLCP the strict semimonotone property was first introduced by Gowda and Song in [9]. Given a linear transformation $L: S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$, we say that $L$ has the strict semimonotone property (SSM-property), if

$$
[X \succeq 0, X \text { and } L(X) \text { commute, } X L(X) \preceq 0] \Rightarrow 0 .
$$

At this point, we define the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property as follows.

Definition 2.1. Let $L: S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$ be a linear transformation. Then we say that $L$ has the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property, if

$$
\left[0 \preceq X \in S^{n}, X L(X) X \preceq 0\right] \Rightarrow X=0
$$

Now we prove the following theorem.
Theorem 2.2. Let $L: S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$ be a linear transformation. Consider the following statements.
(i) L has the $P_{2}$-property.
(ii) $L$ has the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property.
(iii) L has the SSM-property.
(iv) L has the Q-property.

$$
\text { Then (i) } \Rightarrow \text { (ii) } \Rightarrow \text { (iii) } \Rightarrow \text { (iv). }
$$

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is evident from the definition of $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property. Now we prove (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Assume $L$ has the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property. Let $X \succeq 0$ with $X L(X)=L(X) X \preceq 0$. We claim that $X=0$. Since $X$ and $L(X)$ are symmetric commuting matrices, we have $X L(X) X \preceq 0$. Thus by the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property we have $X=0$. Now let us see the proof of (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Assume $L$ has the SSM-property. Suppose there exists a nonzero $X \succeq 0$ and $L(X) \succeq 0$, such that $X L(X)=0$. Then, by the SSM-property, such $X$ must be 0 . This asserts that $\operatorname{SOL}(L, 0)=\{0\}$. Let $Q=I$ and suppose there exists a nonzero $X \succeq 0$ and $L(X)+I \succeq 0$, such that $X(L(X)+I)=(L(X)+I) X=0$. Then $X L(X)=L(X) X=-X \preceq 0$. Now, by the SSM-property, we have $X=0$, that is $\operatorname{SOL}(L, I)=\{0\}$. Thus, $L$ has the $Q$-property by Theorem 1.1. Hence the proof.

The following are some remarks which one can observe as a consequence of the above theorem.
Remark 2.1. $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property does not imply the $P_{2}$-property. The following is a linear transformation that has the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property, but not the $P_{2}$-property.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right) \text { and } X=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y \\
y & z
\end{array}\right) . \text { Consider the transformation } R_{A} . \text { Then } \\
& \begin{aligned}
R_{A}(X) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x+2 z & y \\
y & 2 x+z
\end{array}\right), \\
X R_{A}(X) X & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y \\
y & z
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x+2 z & y \\
y & 2 x+z
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y \\
y & z
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x\left(x^{2}+2 x z+y^{2}\right)+y^{2}(3 x+z) & y^{2}(x+3 z)+z\left(z^{2}+2 x z+y^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
* &
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, suppose $X \succeq 0, X R_{A}(X) X \preceq 0$. This clearly implies that $X=0$. In otherwords $R_{A}$ has the $P_{2}^{\prime}-$ property. But here $A$ is not a $P$-matrix. We know from Gowda and Song [11, Proposition 5], that saying $A$ is a $P$-matrix is equivalent to saying $R_{A}$ has the $P$-property. This says that $R_{A}$ does not have the $P$-property. Hence $R_{A}$ does not possess $P_{2}$-property as well.

Remark 2.2. The above example also illustrates that in general $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property need not imply $P$-property.

Remark 2.3. $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property implies that for all $Q \in S_{+}^{n}, \operatorname{SOL}(L, Q)=\{0\}$; in particular it has $R_{0}$-property.
$P_{2}^{\prime}$-property does not require the operator commutativity of $X$ and $L(X)$. If we include this commutativity, then $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property reduces to SSM-property.

Theorem 2.3. Let $L: S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$ be a linear transformation. Then the following two statements are equivalent.
(i) $[X \succeq 0, X L(X)=L(X) X$, and $X L(X) X \preceq 0] \Rightarrow X=0$.
(ii) L has the SSM-property.

Proof. From Theorem 2.2, it follows that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). We now prove that (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose $X \succeq$ $0, X L(X)=L(X) X$, and $X L(X) X \preceq 0$. Since $X$ and $L(X)$ are symmetric commuting matrices, there exists an orthogonal matrix $U$ such that $U E U^{T}=X$ and $U F U^{T}=L(X)$. From hypothesis we have $E \succeq 0$ and $E F E \preceq 0$. This implies that $E F \preceq 0$ (since $E$ is a nonnegative diagonal matrix and $F$ is a diagonal matrix) and hence $E=0$ or $X=0$ by the $S S M$-property of $L$. This completes the proof of (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).

Remark 2.4. In the standard $L C P$ situation $S S M$-property is equivalent to (the matrix version of) $P_{2}^{\prime}$ property, see Theorem 2.1.

In general $P_{2}^{\prime}$ and its commutative version are not equivalent. We now give an example to show that $P_{2}^{\prime}$ is not equivalent to its commutative version.

Example 2.1. Let $A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 3\end{array}\right)$.
Note that $A$ is positive stable. Hence $L_{A}$ has the SSM-property, see [9]. Hence from the above result it follows that $L_{A}$ has the commutative version of $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property. Since $A$ is not positive definite, it follows from Theorems 3.1 and 3.3, $L_{A}$ does not possess the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property.
Remark 2.5. The $P$-property need not imply $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property. The following (from Gowda and Song [9]) is an example of a linear transformation having $P$-property but not $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property.

Let $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}-1 & 2 \\ -2 & 2\end{array}\right)$. Now, for $Q=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 2 \\ 2 & 4\end{array}\right) \succeq 0$, apart from $X=0, X=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$, is also a solution to $\operatorname{SDLCP}\left(L_{A}, Q\right)$. Thus, by Remark 2.3, $L_{A}$ fails to have $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property, but it has $P$-property.

Definition 2.2. Let $L: S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$ be a linear transformation. For $X_{k} \in S^{k}$, define $L_{k}: S^{k} \rightarrow S^{k}$ by $L_{k}\left(X_{k}\right)=$ $(L(X))_{k}$, where $X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}X_{k} & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $(L(X))_{k}$ is the $k \times k$ leading principal submatrix of $L(X)$. That is $\left(\begin{array}{cc}L_{k}\left(X_{k}\right) & B \\ C\end{array}\right)_{k}=L_{k}\left(X_{k}\right)$. We call $L_{k}$, a principal subtransformation of $L$.

Definition 2.3. Let $L: S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$ be a linear transformation. Then $L$ has the completely $Q$-property (completely $R_{0}$-property) if every principal subtransformation $L_{k}$ of $L$ have the $Q$-property ( $R_{0}$-property).

Theorem 2.4. Let $L: S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$ be a linear transformation. If $L$ has the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property then it is inherited by all its principal subtransformations.

Proof. Let $X_{k} \in S^{k}$. Suppose $X_{k} \succeq 0$ with $X_{k} L_{k}\left(X_{k}\right) X_{k} \preceq 0$ then we need to show that $X_{k}=0$. Let $X=$ $\left(\begin{array}{cc}X_{k} & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$. Then $L_{k}\left(X_{k}\right)=(L(X))_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}L_{k}\left(X_{k}\right) & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right)_{k}$, where $B, C$ and $D$ are matrices of appropriate order.

$$
\begin{aligned}
X L(X) X & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X_{k} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L_{k}\left(X_{k}\right) & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X_{k} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X_{k} L_{k}\left(X_{k}\right) & X_{k} B \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X_{k} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X_{k} L_{k}\left(X_{k}\right) X_{k} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \preceq 0 \\
& \Rightarrow X=0 \text { or } X_{k}=0 \text { for } L \text { has the } P_{2}^{\prime} \text {-property. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if $L$ has the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property then every principal subtransformation, also has the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property.

Corollary 2.1. If $L=L_{A}$ or $S_{A}$, has the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property, then $L$ has the P-property.
Proof. $L_{A}$ has the $P$-property from a result due to Gowda and Song [9, Theorem 5]. Similarly $S_{A}$ has the $P$-property by a result from Gowda and Parthasarathy [8, Theorem 11].

We know from [9], that $P_{2}$-property in SDLCP's is equivalent to the $P$-property in $L C P$ 's. Now one can ask when the $P_{2}$-property is equivalent to $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property. The answer is yes in the case of the Lyapunov transformations, the multiplicative transformations and a particular class of Stein transformations. We will see those equivalence results in the following sections.

## 3. The Lyapunov transformation $L_{A}$

In [17], Parthasarathy et al. have shown the following result.
Theorem 3.1 [17]. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then the following are equivalent for the Lyapunov transformation $L_{A}$.
(i) $A$ is positive definite.
(ii) $L_{A}$ has the strong-monotonicity property.
(iii) $L_{A}$ has the $P_{2}$-property.

Now, we will establish the equivalence of $P_{2}$-property and $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property for the Lyapunov transformation.

Lemma 3.2. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.If $L_{A}$ has the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property then $L_{U A U^{T}}$ also has the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property for any orthogonal matrix $U$.

Proof. Let us assume that $L_{A}$ has the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property. We now claim that $L_{U A U^{T}}$ also has the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property. Let $0 \preceq X \in S^{n}$, with

$$
X L_{U A U^{T}}(X) X=X\left(U A U^{T} X+X U A^{T} U^{T}\right) X \preceq 0 .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X U U^{T}\left(U A U^{T} X+X U A^{T} U^{T}\right) U U^{T} X \preceq 0 \\
& \Rightarrow X U\left(A U^{T} X U+U^{T} X U A^{T}\right) U^{T} X \preceq 0 \\
& \Rightarrow U^{T} X U\left(A U^{T} X U+U^{T} X U A^{T}\right) U^{T} X U \preceq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $Y=U^{T} X U$, the above equation becomes,

$$
Y\left(A Y+Y A^{T}\right) Y \preceq 0
$$

with $0 \preceq Y \in S^{n}$. Now, by the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property of $L_{A}$ we must have $Y=0$, which implies that $X=0$.
Remark 3.1. The inheritance of the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property for $S_{A}$ and $M_{A}$ to $S_{U A U^{T}}$ and $M_{U A U^{T}}$ for any orthogonal matrix $U$ can be proved similarly.

Theorem 3.3. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then the following are equivalent.
(i) $L_{A}$ has the $P_{2}$-property.
(ii) $L_{A}$ has the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is obvious. Now, let us assume that $L_{A}$ has the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property and claim that $A$ is positive definite which is equivalent to saying $L_{A}$ has the $P_{2}$-property (Theorem 3.1). To prove $A$ is positive
definite, because of Lemmas 1.2 and 3.2 it is enough to show that every diagonal entry of $A$ is positive. Suppose $a_{11} \leqslant 0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Then take } X & =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right) \text {. Now, } \\
X A X & =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right)=X A X X=X X A^{T} X \\
& \Rightarrow X L_{A}(X) X=X\left(A X+X A^{T}\right) X=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 a_{11} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right) \preceq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, by $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property $X$ must be zero. Thus, $a_{11}$ cannot be non-positive. So, $A$ is positive definite.

## 4. The multiplicative transformation $\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{A}}$

Now, we establish the equivalence of $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property and the $P_{2}$-property for the multiplicative transformation $M_{A}$. The following result in the form given below is available in the thesis of Sampangi Raman [18]. But originally the equivalence of (i) and (ii) was proved by Gowda et al. [13, Corollary 6]. The equivalence of (i), (iii), (iv), and (v) is proved in Bhimasankaram et al. [4, Theorem 17].

Theorem 4.1 [18]. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then, for the double-sided multiplicative transformation $M_{A}$ the following are equivalent:
(i) $A$ is positive definite or negative definite.
(ii) $M_{A}$ has the $P_{2}$-property.
(iii) $M_{A}$ has the GUS-property.
(iv) $M_{A}$ has the P-property.
(v) $M_{A}$ has the $R_{0}$-property.

Theorem 4.2. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then, for the double-sided multiplicative transformation $M_{A}$ the following are equivalent:
(i) $M_{A}$ has the $P_{2}$-property.
(ii) $M_{A}$ has the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let $M_{A}$ have the $P_{2}$-property. By Theorem 4.1, $A$ is positive definite(or $-A$ is positive definite). Assume that $X \succeq 0$ with $X M_{A}(X) X=X A X A^{T} X \preceq 0$. But $X A X A^{T} X \succeq 0$. This implies that $\operatorname{tr}\left(X A X A^{T} X\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(A X A^{T} X X\right) \leqslant 0$. That is, $A X A^{T} X X=0 \Rightarrow X A^{T} X X=0$. Now, premultiplying by $X$ we get $X X A^{T} X X=0$. This yields $X X=0$ and $X=0$. Thus, $M_{A}$ has the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let $M_{A}$ have the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property. Then by Remark $2.3, M_{A}$ has the $R_{0}$-property. Now, the result follows from Theorem 4.1.

Remark 4.1. In [1], Balaji and Parthasarathy prove that $Q$ and $P$-properties are equivalent for the multiplicative transformations $M_{A}$, provided $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is normal. So, if $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is normal and $M_{A}$ has the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property then $M_{A}$ has the $P$-property. Further, Sampangi Raman [18] proves that $Q$ and $P$ properties are equivalent when $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, that is, whenever $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ with $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property then $M_{A}$ has the $P$-property. Recently for $M_{A}$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, Balaji (oral communication) has proved that $P$ and $Q$-properties are equivalent.

Remark 4.2. We know from Gowda and Song [10], that $P_{2} \Rightarrow$ GUS for any linear transformations. Hence we can deduce that for $L_{A}$ and $M_{A}, P_{2}^{\prime} \Rightarrow G U S$. But in general $P_{2}^{\prime} \Rightarrow G U S$, need not hold, see Remark 2.1.

In the following theorem we will prove that the Lipschitzian property implies the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property for the transformation $M_{A}$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and the corresponding $M_{A}$ be a Lipschitzian map. Then
(i) $M_{A}$ has the $R_{0}$-property.
(ii) $A$ is positive definite or negative definite.
(iii) $M_{A}$ has the $P_{2}$-property.
(iv) $M_{A}$ has the $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property.

Proof. We show (i), assuming that $M_{A}$ has the Lipschitzian property. Note that $\operatorname{SDLCP}\left(M_{A}, Q\right)$ has a solution namely the zero solution for all $Q \in S_{+}^{n}$. If $M_{A}$ does not have the $R_{0}$-property then there exists a nonzero $X_{0} \succeq 0$ such that $A X_{0} A^{T} \succeq 0$ and $X_{0} A X_{0} A^{T}=0$. In fact $\lambda X_{0} \in \operatorname{SOL}\left(M_{A}, 0\right)$ for all $\lambda \geqslant 0$. Now, if $X \succeq 0, M_{A}(X)+I \succeq 0$ and $X\left(M_{A}(X)+I\right)=\left(M_{A}(X)+I\right) X=0$, we have $X M_{A}(X)=$ $M_{A}(X) X=-X^{2} \preceq 0$. This yields that $X=0$. Thus $\operatorname{SOL}\left(M_{A}, I\right)=\{0\}$. Whereas $\operatorname{SOL}\left(M_{A}, 0\right)$ is an unbounded set. This will contradict the Lipschitzian property of $M_{A}$. Thus, $M_{A}$ has the $R_{0}$-property. Now, (ii) and (iii) follows from Theorem 4.1.

Remark 4.3. The above result brings us to the following converse question. If $A$ is positive definite or negative definite, does it follow that $M_{A}$ has the Lipschitzian property? The answer to the above question is yes if $A \in S^{n}$. In this case $M_{A}$ is strongly monotone and consequently $M_{A}$ has the Lipschitzian property. Now, one can also ask whether there is an example where $M_{A}$ has the Lipschitzian property, that does not have the strong-monotonicity property?

One can see the following sharper result for the transformation $M_{A}$.
Theorem 4.4. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.
(i) $A$ is positive definite or negative definite.
(ii) $X \succeq 0, X M_{A}(X) \preceq 0 \Rightarrow X=0$.
(iii) $X \succeq 0, \operatorname{tr}\left(X M_{A}(X)\right) \leq 0 \Rightarrow X=0$.

Proof. The implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is known, for $A$ positive definite implies that $M_{A}$ has the $P$-property; see [4]. Let us see the proof of (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Since $X$ and $M_{A}(X)$ are both symmetric positive semidefinite matrices, $\operatorname{tr}\left(X M_{A}(X)\right)$ cannot be negative. That says $\operatorname{tr}\left(X M_{A}(X)\right)=0$ and hence $X M_{A}(X)=0$. This yields $X=0$ from (ii). Now, we will prove that (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume (iii). Suppose $A$ is neither positive definite or negative definite, then there exists a nonzero $x$ such that $x^{t} A x=0$ or $X_{0} A X_{0}=0$ or $X_{0} A X_{0} A^{T}=0$, where $X_{0}=x x^{t}$. This $X_{0}$ is nonzero and positive semidefinite. But $\operatorname{tr}\left(X_{0} M_{A}\left(X_{0}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(X_{0} A X_{0} A^{T}\right)=0$, which is a contradiction. Hence the result.

In general, statement(ii) need not imply (iii). The following example illustrates the above statement.

Example 4.1. Let $A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & -3 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Let $X_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & t\end{array}\right)$. Then $R_{A}\left(X_{t}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1-3 t & 0 \\ 0 & t\end{array}\right)$ and $\operatorname{tr}\left(X_{t} R_{A}\left(X_{t}\right)\right)=$ $1+t^{2}-3$ t. Then $\operatorname{tr}\left(X_{t} R_{A}\left(X_{t}\right)\right)<0$ at $t=\frac{1}{2}$. In other words $R_{A}$ has $P$-property but statement (iii) of Theorem 4.4 does not hold good.

## 5. The Stein transformation $S_{A}$

Theorem 5.1. Let A be normal. Then for $S_{A}, P_{2}^{\prime}$-property is equivalent to $P_{2}$-property.
Proof. Note $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property implies $Q$-property for $S_{A}$, refer Theorem 2.3. Since $A$ is normal, $S_{A}$ has strong monotonicity property by a result of Gowda et al. [9]. This implies $S_{A}$ has $P_{2}$-property, from a result due to Parthasarathy et al. [17].

Remark 5.1. If $A$ is not normal, for $S_{A}$ we do not know whether $P_{2}^{\prime} \Rightarrow P_{2}$. It is an open problem.

## 6. Concluding remarks

We have shown in this paper the new property $P_{2}^{\prime}$ is equivalent to $P_{2}$-property for Lyapunov, Multiplicative and in some special cases for Stein transformations. We have also given the relationship between $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property, Q-property and $P$-property. Examples are given to show the sharpness of the results that are proved. We end the paper with the following conjecture:

Gowda et al. in [7], introduces Z-transformations in the SDLCP setting, based on the Z-matrices in LCP. They extend many properties of the Z-matrices to Z-transformations. A linear transformation $L: S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$ is said to have the $Z$-property (or called a $Z$-transformation) if

$$
\left[X \in S_{+}^{n}, Y \in S_{+}^{n}, \text { and }\langle X, Y\rangle=0\right] \Rightarrow\langle L(X), Y\rangle \leqslant 0 .
$$

The Lyapunov and Stein transformations are examples of Z-transformations.
If $L$ is a linear $Z$-transformation from $S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$ with $P_{2}^{\prime}$-property then $L$ has the $P_{2}$-property. If not, then $L$ must have at least $P$-property.
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